## **BRAW II: BPR**

Abstract

(BPR)

1.

## **BRAW II: Business Process Modeling Tool for BPR**

, , ,

207-43

가

## [9,11]. **BPR** Two reasons for the low success rate of the practical implementation of BPR are the lack of good models and consideration of information 가 BPR system development stage in a BPR project. This paper suggests an Integrated Business Process Model (IBPM), which incorporates two distinct but interrelated points of view: form and business process. By integrating the form model with the business process model, the IBPM has advantages in performing BPR projects; it helps to build the model, BPR ProMap to analyze and redesign business processes, and to [7] do requirement analysis of information systems. (IBPM, Integrated Business Process Model)' This paper also suggests a new BPR supporting tool, 'BRAW BRAW II(Business Reengineering Assistance Work-II(Business Reengineering Assistance Workbench bench version 2.0). BRAW II supports IBPM and version 2.0)' 2 manages full stages of BPR project. In the design of BRAW II, the linkage between results of a BPR BPR **IBPM** project and requirements for information system , 3 BRAW II development is considered. , 5

[4,5]. BPR

2.

가				
: 1)			7	<b>י</b> ት
, 2)				가
			가 .	IDEF
, 3)		[1,2]	KBS	I 'IDEF Intelligent-
BPR		Workbench'	, ARIS House	of Business Engineering
			IDS	'ARIS[9]', ProMap
			KAIST	'BRAW(ver. 1.0)[7]'
2.1				
		3.	(1	(BPM)
가				
		3.1 IBPM		
				IBPM
,				BPR
SilverRun 'SR_BPM',	ProModel			
'ProcessModel' .		1)		
2.2		(Softwa	are Engineering	)
	가			
가				
				가
	가			
		2)		
	•			
Micrografx 'Optima!', IvyTeam	'Structware			
98', High Performance Systems	'ithink[6]'			•
				¬1
2.2				가
2.3		•		

가

3)			
	[10].	가	
		가 .	
[3]			,
3.2 IBPM	, ,	3) [8]. IBF	РМ [ 1]
[ 1] IBPM		', ' ', ' ' 가 , , , , 가	, ,, ,
1)		4. BRAW II(Business Reengin Workbench version 2.0)	neering Assistance
가	, ,	4.1 BRAW II BRAW II	가
		1) IBPM BRAW II 7	├ ProMap
2)		, IBPM	]

. BRAW II **IBPM** 4.2 BRAW II BRAW II 'UML(Unified Modeling 2) BPR Language)' 'Rational Objectory Process', CASE 'Rational Rose' UML , Use Case Use Case BPR Interaction Diagram CASE interaction (attribute) 2] BRAW II가 (method) [ CASE [ 3] BRAWΠ 2] BRAW II CASE 3) BPR 3] BRAW II BRAW II **IBPM** BPR BRAW II BPR ' G7

BRAW II가

5.

**BPR** 

가

가

IBPM

BRAW II

**IBPM** 

**IBPM** 

BRAW II IBPM

BPR 가

BPR

BPR

6.

- R.B. Bravoco and S.B. Yadav, Requirement Definition Architecture - An Overview, Computers in Industry 6 (1985), 273-251.
- R.B. Bravoco and S.B. Yadav, A Methodology to Model the Dynamic Structure of an Organization, *Information Systems* 10(3) (1985), 299-317.
- 3) J.Choobine and S.S. Venkatraman, A Methodology and Tool for Derivation of Functional Dependencies from Business Forms, *Information Systems* 17(3) (1992), 269-282.
- 4) T.H. Davenport and D. Stoddard, Reengineering:

- Business Change of Mythic Proportions?, *MIS Quarterly* 18(2) (1994), 121-127.
- 5) M. Hammer and J. Champy, Reengineering the Corporation: A Manifesto for Business Revolution, Harper Business, New York, 1993.
- 6) T. Huckvale and M. Ould, Process Modelling: Why, What, and How, in: Software Assistance for Business Reengineering, K. Spurr, P. Layzell, L. Jennison and N. Richards, eds, Wiley, Chichester, 1994, pp.81-97.
- 7) J.Y. Park and S.J. Park, University Reengineering using a BPR Supporting Tool, in:

  Proceeding of the Third European Academic

  Conference on Business Process Redesign,

  Cranfield, U.K., 1996.
- 8) J. Rumbaugh, W. Blaha and F. Premerlani, Object-Oriented Modeling and Design, Prentice-Hall, 1991.
- 9) A.W. Scheer, J. Galler and C. Kruse, Workflow Management within the ARIS Framework, in: Proceeding of European workshop on Integrated Manufacturing Systems Engineering, Grenoble, France, 1994.
- 10) N.C. Shu, V.Y. Lum, F.C. Tung and C.L. Chang, Specification of Forms Processing and Business Procedures for Office Automation, *IEEE Transactions on Software Engineering* SE-8(5) (1982), 499-512.
- 11) D.G. Wastell, P. White and P. Kawalek, A Methodology for Business Process Redesign: Experiences and Issues, *Journal of Strategic* information systems 3(1) (1994), 23-40.