Network structure–property relationship in UV-cured organic/inorganic hybrid nanocomposites†
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The UV-induced cross-linked network structures of methacryl-oligosiloxane nanoclusters (MONCs) were analyzed with a hydrofluoric acid dissolution derived gel permeation chromatography technique (HF-GPC) to study their network structure–property relationships. With this method the oligosiloxane cores were dissolved in HF and the remaining organic tethers underwent GPC analysis to observe the tether species and characterize the original cross-linked network structures. It was found that the MONC nanocomposites have a highly cross-linked structure, resulting in oligosiloxane cores which are completely isolated by short methacryl chain segments (two- or three-armed methacryl tethers). This structural analysis was found to be consistent with the macroscale properties of MONC nanocomposites when characterized with thermal (TGA) and thermo-mechanical (DMA and TMA) analyses.

Introduction

Over the last few decades, organic/inorganic hybrid nanocomposites have attracted considerable attention and are currently under intense study given some novel properties not found with their non-hybrid counterparts. Thermo-mechanical,1–4 electrical,5–8 and optical properties8–10 are included in a range of studies currently being undertaken in industrial and academic settings.11 To date, there have been a significant number of works studying different organic/inorganic hybrid nanocomposites, including those based on polyhedral oligosilsesquioxanes (POSS),11–14 nano-building blocks (NBBs) of metal oxoclusters15–18 and organo-oligosiloxane nanoclusters (ONCs).19–21

Compared to pure organic polymers, the desirable properties of organic/inorganic hybrid nanocomposites primarily originate from the natural properties of individual organic/inorganic phases which are synergistically combined at the molecular level, and the manner in which the two phases combine to build a hybrid network. Hence, in order to control the macroscopic properties of each nanocomposite, it is crucial to understand the interfacial interactions between constituent organic/inorganic components and the hybrid network structure. This becomes more critical when the properties of interest are related to thermal, mechanical and viscoelastic properties as these often limit the practical applications of materials.1,2,29–31 However, for the vast majority of typical hybrid nanocomposites, inorganic components effectively form rigid building blocks which can be usually set as a constant parameter when controlling such properties. In this case, the macroscopic properties of the nanocomposites will be mostly dependent on the cross-linking (or curing) characteristics of the organic components of each network.

Generally speaking, several methods can be used to analyze the structural networks of polymeric materials, including mechanical measurements for the characterization of physical properties and analysis of chemical conversion using spectroscopic methods such as FT-IR, 1H- and 13C-NMR.32 Conventional techniques such as these can offer useful information about the network structures and can be used for the quantitative analysis of chemical cross-links. However, it is difficult to produce even qualitative interpretations of the network structure using these methods due to the complexity of these cross-linked networks. This is because the reacted organic groups form not only chemical cross-links, but also viscoelastically ineffective chains or network defects, such as dangling chains and chain loops.32,33 Furthermore, it is impossible to use conventional spectroscopic techniques to investigate the cross-linking behavior of hybrid nanocomposites since they usually become insoluble after curing. A new analysis technique using HF dissolution-derived GPC (HF-GPC) has been introduced as a useful tool for characterizing organic component network structures and has already been successfully applied to typical siloxane-based hybrid nanocomposites, such as POSS.32,34 In a recent series of papers, Choi et al. have examined the organic network structure of a multi-functional epoxy-POSS/amine (organic spacer) system and demonstrated that its hybrid network is completely discontinuous. In this system both the organic and inorganic components are perfectly isolated from each other, meaning that there must be direct linkages only between epoxy groups from adjacent POSS cages and the amine spacers. This result seems reasonable since the reaction between
epoxides is relatively slow compared to epoxy–amine reactions, leading to the absence of epoxy–epoxy links in the final network. In UV-cured (meth)acrylate systems, on the other hand, there is no such reaction preference between reacting groups during free radical polymerization. This difference in reaction kinetics between organic groups can result in markedly different nano-composite network structures when (meth)acrylate is UV-cured.

In this study, our primary goal is to characterize the network structure of a UV-cured (meth)acrylate-based hybrid nano-composite using the HF-GPC technique, allowing us to investigate structure–property relationships. Here we used methacryl-oligosiloxane nanoclusters (MONCs) as the building blocks of these nanocomposites. MONC is a typical organic/inorganic hybrid material which can be synthesized by a sol–gel reaction of 3-(trimethoxysilyl) propyl methacrylate (MPTS) and diphenylsilanediol (DPSD) (Scheme 1a). When UV-cured (Scheme 1b), these MONC nanocomposites exhibit excellent optical properties, including high transparency, low optical loss and low birefringence. MONC-based nanocomposites also have a versatile range of other beneficial properties offering new options for practical applications related to micro-optical devices, soft lithographic technologies, biosensors, and organic electronics.

### Experimental

#### Materials

3-(Trimethoxysilyl) propyl methacrylate (MPTS), barium hydroxide monohydrate [Ba(OH)\(_2\)·H\(_2\)O] and 2,2-dimethoxy-2-phenylacetophenone were purchased from Aldrich (Milwaukee, WI) and used without further purification. Diphenylsilanediol (DPSD) was purchased from Gelest (Morrisville, PA) and used without purification. Ethylene glycol diacrylate (EGDA) was purchased from Aldrich (St Louis, MO) and used as received.

#### Synthesis of MONC resin

Synthesis of MONC resin can be found in the ESI†.

#### Preparation of UV-cured MONC nanocomposites

MONC nanocomposites were prepared by exposing to UV light (Mercury lamp/365 nm, 80 mW cm\(^{-2}\)) for 5 minutes under N\(_2\) purging and heat-treated at 150 °C for 2 hours in ambient atmosphere. The resultant bulk samples were transparent and hard solids. For MONC/EGDA, EGDA was homogeneously mixed into MONC resin. On blending MONC/EGDA, a useful variable N was defined as a ratio of the number of acryl groups in EGDA/the number of methacryl groups in MONC. Thus, a nanocomposite which is solely composed of MONC is in accordance with N = 0. When N = 0.5, the number of C=C double bonds in EGDA is half of those in MONC.

#### Characterization

Gel permeation chromatography (GPC). Molecular weight and distribution of MONC were characterized using a GPC system (Viscotek TDA 302), equipped with an RI and UV detector. The system was calibrated using linear polystyrene standards. HPLC-grade THF was used as the solvent. GPC was also used to
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**Scheme 1** (a) Synthesis of methacryl-oligosiloxane nanoclusters (MONC) and (b) UV-curing of MONC.
analyze organic tether structures after removing oligosiloxane cores (see below).

**Organic tether structures study by HF-GPC.** Organic tethers of UV-cured MONC nanocomposites were isolated by dissolving oligosiloxane cores with HF and extracting the organic components for GPC analysis. It is well known that siloxane bonds are easily cleaved by HF. The reference GPC chromatogram above was prepared using MONC resin to identify peaks in the HF-derived tether GPC chromatogram.

UV-cured nanocomposites were ground into fine powders and 50 mg of each powder was suspended in 4 mL of THF in a polyethylene bottle. Then, 4 mL of HF (50%) were added to the solution. The mixture was slowly stirred and kept at room temperature for 2 days. THF and HF were then evaporated. The residue was mixed with HPLC-grade THF to extract the organic tethers. Remaining coarse powders were removed by filtration using a 0.45 µm Teflon filter before GPC analysis. In previous studies, it was already reported that HF does not deteriorate the organic tethers. 

**Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA).** Thermal stabilities of each UV-cured nanocomposite were assessed under N2 atmosphere using a TGA instrument (Q-50, TA Instruments, Inc.). The N2 flow rate and sample masses were fixed to be 60 mL min$^{-1}$ and 20 ± 2 mg for accuracy, respectively. Temperature was increased from 25 °C to 600 °C at ramp rate of 5 °C min$^{-1}$.

**Dynamic mechanical analysis (DMA).** The dynamic mechanical responses of the nanocomposites were recorded using a dynamic mechanical analyzer (DMA 2980, TA Instrument, Inc.). Resins of all samples were cast into (5 mm × 50 mm × 0.1 mm) sheets. The cast samples were UV-cured for 5 minutes and heat-treated at 150 °C for 2 hours under ambient atmosphere. Storage modulus (E) and tan δ were measured within a temperature range from −50 °C to 200 °C at a ramp rate of 5 °C min$^{-1}$. The vibratory offset force was fixed at 0.01 N at a frequency of 1 Hz.

**Thermo-mechanical analysis (TMA).** The thermo-dilatometric responses of the nanocomposites were measured using a thermo-mechanical analyzer (EXTAR series TMA/SS 6100, Seiko instruments, Inc.). All samples were prepared in (3 mm × 25 mm × 0.1 mm) sheets. The displacement was measured from 25 °C to 200 °C at a ramp rate of 5 °C min$^{-1}$ and the coefficients of thermal expansion (CTEs) were calculated.

**Results and discussion**

The UV cross-linked network structure of MONC nanocomposites

Direct analysis of the organic network structure can be performed with an HF dissolution of the MONC cores, followed by extraction and GPC analysis of the remaining organic fragments. In related studies using this technique, it was reported that organic fragments are retained without damage while Si–O bonds are cleaved by the HF. By identifying peaks in the tether GPC the overall network structure can be understood, providing direct insight into the organic network structures of the typical siloxane-based nanocomposites being studied.

Fig. 1 displays the HF-GPC chromatogram of the extracted organic tethers (RI detector) from two materials, with four peaks observable from the MONC (N = 0) sample. Represented in Scheme 2 are four different possible tether species that can be produced during UV-curing of MONC. It is known that fragments of DPSD (D) can be generated during HF-dissolution given that MONC cores are produced by a sol–gel reaction which includes both MPTS and DPSD. Also, due to bulky MONC core-related steric restriction, not all methacryl tethers can participate in cross-linking. This means that unreacted methacryl tethers (I) are also expected to be present in the final solution, as evidenced by the reduced peak I in the MONC/EGDA blend. A reference GPC chromatogram was used to identify peaks corresponding to D and I. Fig. 2 shows the GPC chromatogram of a MONC resin containing small traces of unreacted MPTS and DPSD which remain after the sol–gel reaction. Two peaks related to MPTS and DPSD can be observed in the lower molecular weight region. The GPC determined molecular weight of MPTS was 250 g mol$^{-1}$, almost identical to its formula weight. In contrast, the molecular weight of DPSD appears to be 180 g mol$^{-1}$, smaller than its formula weight (Table 1). This result suggests that the hydrodynamic volume of DPSD is smaller than that of MPTS. Thus it can be expected that the DPSD fragment should be eluted at a longer elution time in the tether GPC. On this basis, peaks appearing in Fig. 1, at 27.7 min and 28.7 min, are thought to be I and D, respectively. The UV-detected HF-GPC chromatogram (Fig. 3) reveals the same tendency and supports the previous result. In this figure the peak appearing at 30 min also shows a stronger UV-response than the peak at 29 min. This can be ascribed to the higher UV-absorbance of benzene derivatives (phenyl groups of D) than the carbonyl compounds of methacryl groups (I). After identifying D and I, the remaining peaks appearing at 26.5 min and 27.1 min (Fig. 1) are thought to be three-armed (III) and two-armed tethers (II), respectively. It is worth comparing this result with a completely discontinuous network.
of epoxy-POSS/amine nanocomposites, which consists of only linear (two-armed) tethers. The existence of three-armed tethers (III) in our sample can be attributed to the nature of free radical polymerization in (meth)acrylates, where radical-initiated C=C double bonds can propagate to react with other double bonds. Nevertheless, an important feature to note here is the absence of multi-armed tethers of higher molecular weight in the tether GPC. This suggests that these MONC nanocomposites are highly cross-linked, such that their cores are isolated by only a few methacryl chain segments.

In the MONC/EGDA blend (\(N = 0.5\)), several changes are observed in the tether GPC chromatogram (Fig. 1). Firstly, the peak corresponding to I is significantly reduced. This is because the remaining unreacted tethers (I) have reacted with the acryl groups from the EGDA. Secondly, the peak corresponding to III is slightly shifted toward the higher molecular weight region (lower elution time of 26.3 min) and has a profile which overlaps with II. Unlike epoxy–amine reactions, the nature of radical-
initiated C—C chain reactions can lead to more complicated tether structures. Theoretically, however, five additional tether structures can be generated, on the basis that the EGDA can extend from mono- to tetra-functionality (Scheme 3). A shoulder at 26.3 min is thought to be tether III*, however, no clear peak for IV is observed in the tether GPC. Despite the theoretical possibility, it seems, therefore, that the chances of producing tether IV seem to be significantly limited. This may due not only to steric hindrance, but also to the decreased mobility of EGDA after the formation of III*. The broad region at around 26.5 min is thought to be II1* and/or II2*; however, the tether structures in this region cannot be differentiated exactly. This heavy overlapping of peaks arises from the similar hydrodynamic volumes of II1* and II2*; these can be estimated properly by a systematic characterization of the macroscopic physical properties.

**Thermal gravimetric analysis (TGA)**

Fig. 4 shows the TGA profile of MONC and MONC/EGDA blends from which the 5% mass loss temperatures \( T_{5\%} \) of both systems can be compared (Table 2). For MONC \((N=0)\), initial mass loss begins at \( \sim 270 \) °C, arising from the decomposition of unreacted methacryl tethers (I). The second abrupt mass loss occurs at \( \sim 400 \) °C and is thought to be due to degradation of cross-links (III and II). For MONC/EGDA \((N=0.5)\), on the other hand, a similar initial mass loss is not observed. This is because I tethers II have reacted with EGDA, producing additional cross-links, clearly demonstrated by the higher \( T_{5\%} \) of MONC/EGDA \( (338 \) °C vs. \( 283 \) °C for MONC). This result shows that the thermal stability of MONC nanocomposites can be strongly enhanced by the reduction of network defects and creation of additional chemical cross-links. In correlation with the above GPC study, it is clear that these cross-links are thermally effective. They are also thought to consist of only III* and II1*, since tethers such as II2* and II* are network defects with dangling chains in the cross-linked structure, which are thermally weak and would be decomposed first (resulting in a lower \( T_{5\%} \)). This result supports the hypothesis that the macroscopic thermal stabilities of nanocomposites are considerably affected by the organic network structure, provided that the inorganic cores remain unvaried.

**Dynamic and thermo-mechanical analysis (DMA and TMA)**

Fig. 5 compares the DMA of MONC and MONC/EGDA nanocomposites, and uses polyethylene terephthalate (PET) as a pure organic polymer reference.

**Table 2** Thermo-physical properties of MONC nanocomposites and PET

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Before ( T_g )</th>
<th>After ( T_g )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PET</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MONC (( N=0 ))</td>
<td>283</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MONC/EGDA ((N=0.5))</td>
<td>338</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*\( E' \): Glassy state storage modulus, \( E'_c \): rubbery state storage modulus, CTE: coefficient of thermal expansion.

**Fig. 4** TGA profile of MONC and MONC/EGDA nanocomposites. All tests were carried out with a \( 5 \) °C min\(^{-1}\) ramp rate under \( N_2 \) atmosphere.

**Fig. 5** DMA tests of nanocomposites. PET is used as a reference. All tests were performed with a \( 5 \) °C min\(^{-1}\) ramp rate: (a) storage modulus and (b) tan \( \delta \).
An important feature can be immediately noticed when comparing the nanocomposites with PET. The storage moduli of the nanocomposites slowly decrease over a wide temperature range, while the PET modulus decreases abruptly (Fig. 5a). This is more clearly observed in the tan δ curves (Fig. 5b). For PET, the maximum tan δ value is as high as ~0.3 and appears sharply at 105 °C, which is the glass transition temperature \(T_g\) of PET. For nanocomposites, on the other hand, such a transition is barely noticeable and the maximum tan δ values are only ~0.1 or less. These values are accompanied by a decrease in the storage modulus of only one order of magnitude (Table 2). A similar trend has also been reported in the early works of Choi et al.\(^{34}\) This contrast can be clearly demonstrated by considering the different network structures of nanocomposites compared to PET.

On the basis of viscoelasticity, the glass transition (\(\alpha\)-transition) of an organic polymer is caused by the relaxation motion of chain segments. \(T_g\) is where these motions become cooperative, even considerably for main backbone chains.\(^{32,46}\) These relaxation motions can be slowed through chain entanglements, small crystallinity and rigid side chains, but often most effectively with cross-links.\(^{32,46}\) However, these motions can still become sufficiently cooperative, even through the cross-links of a highly cross-linked polymer. In this context, the lack of pronounced \(\alpha\)-transitions in MONC nanocomposites can be interpreted as being due to the absence of main chains (Scheme 4) and the macroscale relaxation of the nanocomposites is the sum of relaxation of each organic tether. This pronounced difference in network structures is illustrated in Scheme 4. Since they are highly cross-linked only by the tethers such as \(\text{III}\) and \(\text{II}\) (for \(N = 0\)), these short oligomeric chain segments are isolated by inorganic cores and are thus expected to behave differently compared to the continuous chains of a polymer. The relaxation motions of localized tethers are expected to be non-cooperative and even to some extent hindered by the rigid inorganic cores. This accounts for the broad and weak \(\alpha\)-transitions in typical highly cross-linked organic/inorganic hybrid nanocomposites, which make them useful in applications where thermo-mechanical properties play an important role.\(^{47}\)

Another noticeable feature can be observed when comparing MONC and the MONC/EGDA blend. While the glassy state moduli of both systems are similar (~3.7 GPa), the rubbery state modulus of MONC/EGDA is much higher (521 MPa) than that of MONC (281 MPa). In a cross-linked structure, a rubbery state modulus is directly related to the network cross-link density, often accompanied by a higher \(T_g\). Thus, it is suggested that MONC/EGDA is more highly cross-linked and the relaxation motion of its organic tethers is restricted much more significantly than that of MONC. This result is also consistent with the TGA result that tethers \(\text{II}_2^\circ\) and \(\text{I}^\circ\) are not likely to exist in MONC/EGDA, since these thermally ineffective tethers are also viscoelastically ineffective. That is, because these chain branches are not bound but are dangling, they would be relaxed easily and their motion is likely to be detectable with DMA, resulting in a decrease of the storage modulus and a lower \(T_g\).\(^{32,46}\) This dramatic change in specific volume provides further evidence of the higher cross-link density of MONC/EGDA.

The specific volume of materials changes abruptly at \(T_g\) and can usually be measured with the coefficient of thermal expansion (CTE). Fig. 6 shows the TMA of nanocomposites from which the CTEs before and after \(T_g\) of all samples can be compared (Table 2). For PET, CTE changes from 11 to 64 ppm °C\(^{-1}\) around \(T_g\), which is about a six-fold increase. This dramatic change in specific volume provides further evidence of the cooperative thermal relaxation motions of main chains that occur at \(T_g\) in PET. In contrast, CTEs of nanocomposites experience a less than two-fold change and the transition zone is much broader. This difference clearly demonstrates the highly cross-linked network structure of the nanocomposites.\(^{45}\) Comparing the two nanocomposites it can be seen that the CTE of MONC/EGDA is 30–40 ppm °C\(^{-1}\) less than MONC both before and after \(T_g\). This result also demonstrates that the network cross-link density of MONC/EGDA is higher than MONC, consistent with the DMA result.

**Scheme 4** Comparison of network structures: (a) cross-linked polymer and (b) MONC nanocomposites \((N = 0)\).

**Conclusion**

The hybrid network structure of MONC nanocomposites was investigated with the HF-GPC technique. HF-GPC analyses of organic tethers provide useful information on the network...
topology of typical siloxane-based nanocomposites. In this study, the results of HF-GPC analyses are also consistently supported by characterizations of the macroscopic physical properties of each material. Systematically relating chemical and physical properties allows for clear interpretations on the hybrid network structures involved. The overall results provide several conclusions for the UV-cured (meth)acrylate/siloxane core-based hybrid nanocomposites and their network structure–property relationships.

First, an important point to note is that a typical UV-cured (meth)acrylate–siloxane hybrid network can be discontinuous even in the absence of the core/spacer relation, as seen in the epoxy–amine system. The network can instead be organized by only a few direct linkages between (meth)acryl tethers. This was monitored using DMA and TMA analyses. The results showed that z-transition behavior of each nanocomposite was imperceptible and significantly slowed compared to the pure organic polymer. The highly cross-linked network characteristic and the rigidity of inorganic cores cause the organic tethers to viscoelastically relax slowly and non-cooperatively. However, due to the nature of the radical-initiated polymerization of the (meth)acrylates, three-armed tethers can also be generated.

Second, it was found that nanoscale organic network structures can significantly affect the macroscopic properties of hybrid nanocomposites. For example, nanocomposites’ thermal stabilities and thermomechanical properties can be strongly enhanced by introducing additional cross-links, which are thermally and/or viscoelastically effective.
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