Poly(A) polymerase (PAP) is a key enzyme responsible for the addition of the poly(A) at the 3′ end of pre-mRNA. The C-terminal region of mammalian PAP carries target sites for protein–protein interaction with the 25 kDa subunit of cleavage factor I and with splicing factors U1A and U2AF65. We used a yeast two-hybrid screen to identify 14-3-3ε as an additional protein binding to the C-terminal region of PAP. Interaction between PAP and 14-3-3ε was confirmed by both in vitro and in vivo binding assays. This interaction is dependent on PAP phosphorylation. Deletion analysis of PAP suggests that PAP contains multiple binding sites for 14-3-3ε. The binding of 14-3-3ε to PAP inhibits the polyadenylation activity of PAP in vitro, and overexpression of 14-3-3ε leads to a shorter poly(A) mRNA tail in vivo. In addition, the interaction between PAP and 14-3-3ε redistributes PAP within the cell by increasing its cytoplasmic localization. These data suggest that 14-3-3ε is involved in regulating both the activity and the nuclear/cytoplasmic partitioning of PAP through the phosphorylation-dependent interaction.
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Introduction

Most eukaryotic mRNA contains a poly(A) tail at the 3′ end. This tail is added following transcription by polyadenylation machinery composed of multiple trans-acting factors, including cleavage- and polyadenylation-specificity factor (CPSF), cleavage-stimulation factor (CstF), two cleavage factors (CFI and CFII), poly(A) polymerase (PAP) and poly(A)-binding protein II (PAB II) (Keller et al., 1991; Barabino and Keller, 1999; Zhao et al., 1999; Shatkin and Manley, 2000; Takagaki and Manley, 2000). The poly(A) tails of 200–250 residues in length are synthesized by PAP at specific pre-mRNA sites, which are generated by cleavage with an as yet unidentified enzyme. The role of the poly(A) tail is not firmly established; however, growing evidence suggests that it enhances the translation efficiency and stability of mRNA (Beelman and Parker, 1995; Tarun and Sachs, 1996). Therefore, regulation of polyadenylation could be one way by which cells can vary the expression level of a particular gene (Barabino and Keller, 1999), and this regulation can be achieved by directly influencing the activity of PAP. PAP activity can be regulated by phosphorylation during the cell cycle (Colgan et al., 1996, 1998), p34cdc2/cyclin B hyperphosphorylates PAP during mitosis and meiosis (M phase), thereby causing repression of PAP activity.

Mammalian PAP II (which is referred to as PAP throughout this paper) carries the catalytic domain in the N-terminal region (Martin and Keller, 1996; Martin et al., 2000), whereas the C-terminal region carries an RNA-binding domain, two nuclear localization signals (NLSs) and a serine/threonine-rich regulatory domain (Rabbe et al., 1994). The serine/threonine-rich domain contains multiple cyclin-dependent kinase (cdk) sites, which are phosphorylated in vitro and in vivo by p34cdc2/cyclin B (Colgan et al., 1998). An isoform of PAP, human PAPγ, has been also known as a bona fide PAP carrying both non-specific and CPSF/AAUAAA-dependent polyadenylation activity (Kyrriakopoulou et al., 2001). PAP activity can be regulated through interactions between PAP and various regulatory proteins. For instance, it is repressed by interaction between the U1 snRNP A protein (U1A) and the C-terminal region of PAP (Gunderson et al., 1997). U1A also inhibits poly(A) tail addition to the IgM secretory mRNA in B cells (Phillips et al., 2001). The C-terminal region of PAP also serves as a platform for another splicing factor, U2AF65 (Vagner et al., 2000), as well as the 25 kDa subunit of CFI, a component of the mammalian polyadenylation machinery (Kim and Lee, 2001). Therefore, it is possible that other regulatory proteins are involved in the regulation of PAP functions via interaction with the C-terminal region.

We set out to determine whether or not these regulatory proteins exist and, if so, how they might regulate the activity of PAP. To do this, proteins that could potentially interact with the C-terminal region of PAP were identified using a yeast two-hybrid assay. We identified 14-3-3ε, one of the members of the 14-3-3 protein family, as a candidate binding partner. The 14-3-3 proteins are originally isolated as highly abundant acidic proteins in brain extracts (Moore and Perez, 1967). Seven isoforms (β, γ, ε, η, σ, τ and ζ) have been identified in mammals. The 14-3-3 proteins interact with various cellular proteins (Tzivion and Avruch, 2002), which requires target protein phosphorylation in many, but not all, cases (Liao and Omary, 1996; Muslin et al., 1996; Craparo et al., 1997; Liu et al., 1997; Michael et al., 1997; Piwnica, 1997). 14-3-3 proteins are involved in various cellular processes, including signal transduction, cell cycle progression and apoptosis (Freed et al., 1994; Chan et al., 1999; Kimura et al., 2001; van Hemert et al., 2001). They are thought to alter the stability, activity and/or subcellular localization of the target protein via protein–protein interaction within...
the cell (Rittinger et al., 1999; Tzivion and Avruch, 2002). Here, we show that 14-3-3ε interacts with the C-terminal regulatory region of PAP and that this interaction is dependent on PAP phosphorylation. Multiple binding motifs for this interaction reside in the serine/threonine-rich domain of PAP. We also examine the effects of binding to 14-3-3ε on the polymerization activity and subcellular localization of PAP. Our findings suggest that 14-3-3ε plays a role in modulating the PAP activity and the nuclear/cytoplasmic localization through a phosphorylation-dependent interaction.

Results

Identification of 14-3-3ε as a PAP-binding protein

To identify proteins that regulate PAP activity via protein–protein interactions, we performed a LexA-based yeast two-hybrid screen using the C-terminal 268 residues of mouse PAP as bait (Figure 1). Approximately two million colonies from a human HeLa cell cDNA library were screened. One of the clones identified as an interacting sequence encodes the human 14-3-3ε protein. We obtained the coding sequence of mouse 14-3-3ε using PCR amplification from a mouse kidney cDNA library. This mouse 14-3-3ε sequence was used to analyze the interaction with mouse PAP throughout the study. His-PAP and GST–14-3-3ε, which were expressed in SF9 insect cells and Escherichia coli cells, respectively, were purified. The purified His-PAP showed two bands (one major and one minor) on an SDS–polyacrylamide gel (Figure 2A). Since both bands were reacted with anti-PAP antibody and anti-His antibody, we concluded that His-PAP was purified as two forms of PAP. On the other hand, GST–14-3-3ε was purified as a single band on an SDS–polyacrylamide gel (Figure 2B). We analyzed in vitro interaction between His-PAP and GST–14-3-3ε by both coimmunoprecipitation

Fig. 1. Schematic diagram presenting the significant features of poly(A) polymerase (PAP). The catalytic domain, the serine/threonine-rich region, two nuclear localization sequences (NLS) and an RNA-binding region are indicated.

Fig. 2. Interaction of poly(A) polymerase (PAP) with 14-3-3ε in vitro. (A) Purification of the recombinant His-PAP from insect SF9 cells. Left: the purified His-PAP was electrophoresed on a 10% SDS–polyacrylamide gel and visualized with Coomassie Blue. Right: the protein gel was blotted with anti-PAP or anti-His antibody. (B) Purification of the recombinant 14-3-3ε proteins from E.coli. The purified proteins were electrophoresed on a 10% SDS–polyacrylamide gel and visualized with Coomassie Blue. (C and D) The recombinant His-PAP protein of 50 ng was incubated with the indicated amounts of GST–14-3-3ε in 30 μl of EBC solution. The protein mixture was pull downed with glutathione–Sepharose beads. The pull-downed proteins were resolved on a 10% SDS–polyacrylamide gel and blotted with anti-His antibody (C). GST–14-3-3ζ was used as a control protein (see Figure 4). Alternatively, complex formation between two proteins was analyzed by a gel mobility shift assay on an 8% native polyacrylamide gel. The protein gel was blotted by anti-His antibody (D).
and gel mobility shift assays. Prior to the gel mobility shift assay, we checked whether His-PAP would enter native gels. The purified His-PAP migrated into a native gel, whereas the RNase-treated His-PAP did not (data not shown). These data suggest that the purified His-PAP might have some residual RNA essential for its entrance into the native gel. Both the coimmunoprecipitation analysis (Figure 2C) and the gel mobility shift assay (Figure 2D) indicated that PAP and 14-3-3ε interact in vitro.
Regulation of PAP by 14-3-3ε

To determine whether the interaction between PAP and 14-3-3ε occurs in vivo, we performed a coimmunoprecipitation experiment using NIH 3T3 cells cotransfected with both the GST–PAP and the HA-14-3-3ε constructs (Figure 3A). The coimmunoprecipitation data indicated that the PAP–14-3-3ε interaction occurs in mammalian cells. Coimmunoprecipitation experiments were also performed with the C-terminal or N-terminal catalytic domain of PAP instead of the intact PAP (Figure 3A). 14-3-3ε binds to the C-terminal 268 residues of PAP, but not to the remaining N-terminal region, confirming the C-terminal region as containing the motif(s) for interaction with 14-3-3ε. To further delineate the binding domain in the C-terminal region of PAP for 14-3-3ε, we generated several truncated mutants of PAP. These mutant proteins were tested for their ability to bind 14-3-3ε by both yeast two-hybrid and coimmunoprecipitation analyses (Figure 3B). Strong interaction was observed with various fragments over the 472–671 region. Interestingly, the 472–611 fragment showed the interaction comparable with that of the non-overlapping 641–671 fragment. This finding suggests that PAP contains more than one binding site for 14-3-3ε. We measured slight β-galactosidase activity generated by the C-terminal 69 residues (671–739) in the yeast two-hybrid assay. However, no coimmunoprecipitation was detected between 14-3-3ε and the 671–739 fragment of PAP. Therefore, it is unlikely that this region includes a binding site for 14-3-3ε in mammalian cells. Although we have not examined this discrepancy further, it might be due to the difference in protein phosphorylation between yeast and mammalian systems.

We carried out coimmunoprecipitation experiments to see whether endogenous PAP and 14-3-3ε interact in mammalian cells. The untransfected NIH 3T3 cells lysates were immunoprecipitated with anti-14-3-3ε antibody, and the immunoprecipitaeas were blotted with anti-PAP (Figure 4A). The converse experiment was performed using anti-PAP and anti-14-3-3ε as the precipitating antibody and the blotting antibody, respectively (Figure 4B). The immunoblot indicated that the interaction between the two proteins occurs naturally in vivo. Since 14-3-3 proteins are a family of highly conserved proteins and there are seven isoforms in mammals, we determined whether PAP displayed an isoform-specific interaction with 14-3-3ε. We performed the same coimmunoprecipitation experiment with other isoform-specific antibodies. Figure 4B shows that PAP interacts with isoform ε, but not with isoforms δ, γ, and ζ. This result indicates that the interaction between PAP and 14-3-3ε is isoform specific.

**Interaction between 14-3-3 and PAP depends on phosphorylation of PAP**

Since binding of 14-3-3 proteins requires phosphorylation of target proteins in many cases (Liao and Omary, 1996; Muslin et al., 1996; Craparo et al., 1997; Liu et al., 1997; Michael et al., 1997; Piwncia, 1997), we next examined whether the interaction between PAP and 14-3-3ε was phosphorylation dependent. Prior to immunoprecipitation, the GST–PAP and HA-14-3-3ε cotransfected cell lysates were treated with a non-specific phosphatase, λ phosphatase. This treatment resulted in loss of the interaction between PAP and 14-3-3ε (Figure 5A). To exclude the possibility that the phosphatase would indirectly affect the interaction, we performed a control experiment with cells cotransfected with GST–PAP and HA-CFI-25 fusion constructs because the C-terminal region of PAP also interacts with CFI-25 (Kim and Lee, 2001). The phosphatase treatment did not affect the PAP–CFI-25 interaction, confirming that the interaction between PAP and 14-3-3ε was phosphorylation dependent. We also transfected cells with GST–PAP or HA-14-3-3ε alone and separately treated the resulting cell lysates with the phosphatase. The two cell lysates were then mixed and analyzed by coimmunoprecipitation. The phosphatase treatment of the GST–PAP, but not the HA-14-3-3ε lysates, affected the PAP–14-3-3ε interaction (Figure 5B). This finding indicates that the PAP–14-3-3ε interaction depends on the phosphorylation state of PAP.

It is known that PAP is hyperphosphorylated and its activity is repressed in M-phase cells (Colgan et al., 1998). Thus, we investigated whether the interaction between GST–PAP and HA-14-3-3ε is related to this hyperpho-
sphorylation using M-phase arrested HeLa cells by nocodazol. Although we did not observed any significant
difference in gel mobility between GSP±PAP proteins
expressed in the M-phase arrested and unsynchronized
cells (data not shown), we confirmed, by ¯ow cytrometric
analysis, that the nocodazol-treated cells were arrested at
the M phase (Figure 5C). The interaction of GST±PAP
with 14-3-3 in the M-phase arrested cells differed little
from the interaction in unsynchronized cells (Figure 5C),
suggesting that the hyperphosphorylation status of PAP is
not relevant to the interaction.

14-3-3 inhibits the activity of PAP
To examine how the binding of 14-3-3 to PAP affects the
function(s) of PAP, we measured the non-speci®c poly-
adenylation activity of PAP in a Mn²⁺-containing reaction
buffer (Zhao and Manley, 1996). The puri®ed His-PAP
activity was assayed with c-mos mRNA as a substrate in
the presence of GST–14-3-3e. The trichloroacetic acid
precipitation assay showed that the polyadenylation
activity of His-PAP was inhibited by GST–14-3-3e
(Table I). The maximum decrease of the His-PAP activity
by GST–14-3-3e was ~40%. When the same reaction
products were electrophoresed on a gel, we found that
poly(A) tails became shorter as more GST–14-3-3e
proteins were included in the reaction mixture
(Figure 6A). These data implicate 14-3-3e in the formation
of shorter poly(A) tails by inhibiting PAP activity.

To examine effects of in vivo interaction of PAP with
14-3-3e on non-speci®c polyadenylation, we performed
the same non-speci®c polyadenylation assay with GST–
PAP puri®ed by immobilization on glutathione–Sepharose

Fig. 5. Effects of dephosphorylation of poly(A) polymerase (PAP) on interaction with 14-3-3e. (A) We performed coimmunoprecipitation experiments as in Figure 3A. Prior to the incubation with glutathione–Sepharose beads (GST pull-down), cell lysates of NIH 3T3 cells cotransfected with GST–PAP and either HA-14-3-3e or HA-CFI-25 DNAs (Kim and Lee, 2001) were treated with λ phosphatase. A plus sign (+) indicates the phosphatase (PPase) treatment. (B) Lysates from NIH 3T3 cells transfected with GST–PAP or HA-14-3-3e construct alone were also treated with λ phosphatase. A plus sign (+) indicates the phosphatase (PPase) treatment. The two lysates were mixed for coimmunoprecipitation. (C) HeLa cells cotransfected with GST–PAP and HA-14-3-3e were treated with nocodazole (40 ng/ml) to arrest at the M phase. Top: FACScan pro®les of the cells were collected for DNA content analysis with propidium iodide staining. Bottom: the x-axis (FL1-H) and the y-axis (Counts) represent linear scale of ®uorescence and cell number, respectively; the lysate of the nocodazole treated cells were subjected to coimmunoprecipitation. T, total lysate (2% input).
beads from NIH 3T3 cells transfected with the GST–PAP fusion construct alone or from cells cotransfected with GST–PAP and HA-14-3-3e. We confirmed the presence of GST–PAP or a complex of GST–PAP/HA-14-3-3e in the purified fraction by immunoblot analysis. GST–PAP purified from the cotransfected cells added shorter poly(A) tails to the 3' end of c-mos mRNA than did GST–PAP from the cells transfected with the GST–PAP construct alone (Figure 6B). The trichloroacetic acid precipitation assay of the same reaction products showed that the activity of GST–PAP purified from the cotransfected cells was reduced to 70% of the activity of GST–PAP from the GST–PAP singly transfected cells (Table II). The inhibition of GST–PAP activity by HA-14-3-3e was not due to a non-specific protein–protein interaction, because the presence of excess bovine serum albumin (BSA) in the reaction mixture had little effect on the polyadenylation activity (Figure 6B, Table II). In addition,
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Table I. Inhibition of His-tagged poly(A) polymerase (His-PAP) activity by GST–14-3-3ε in vitro

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>His-PAP (ng)</th>
<th>GST–14-3-3ε (ng)</th>
<th>Relative PAP activity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.89 ± 0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0.75 ± 0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.70 ± 0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>0.62 ± 0.02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*His-PAP and GST–14-3-3ε were purified as recombinant proteins from S99 cells and E.coli cells, respectively. The polyadenylation reaction was carried out as in Figure 6A, and the reaction products were analyzed by the trichloroacetic acid precipitation assay.

Table II. In vitro activity of GST fusion poly(A) polymerase (GST–PAP) isolated from transfected NIH 3T3 cells

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Protein</th>
<th>λ phosphatase</th>
<th>Relative PAP activity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GST–PAP</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GST–PAP</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>1.08 ± 0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GST–PAP + 14-3-3ε</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.70 ± 0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GST–PAP + 14-3-3ε</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>0.90 ± 0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>yPAP (positive control)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1.28 ± 0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GST (negative control)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.03 ± 0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*GST–PAP was purified by immobilization on glutathione–Sepharose beads from NIH 3T3 cells transfected with either both GST–PAP and HA–14-3-3ε constructs or GST–PAP alone. The polyadenylation reaction was carried out as in Figure 6B, and the reaction products were analyzed by the trichloroacetic acid precipitation assay.

The relative PAP activity represents the amount of [α-32P]ATP incorporated by each protein fraction relative to that by GST–PAP without the A phosphatase treatment.

GST–PAP from cells transfected with increasing amounts of HA–14-3-3ε construct showed a dose-dependent decrease in activity (Figure 6C). The maximum inhibition of 40% in the dose-dependent experiment is comparable with the inhibition level of the activity of the purified His-PAP in the presence of excess GST–14-3-3ε (Table I). We also found that the GST–PAP activity was increased by treatment with phosphatase in the presence of HA–14-3-3ε (Figure 6B, Table II). Although a small increase of PAP activity by the treatment of phosphatase in the absence of 14-3-3ε was observed, this increase was much less than that in the presence of 14-3-3ε. Therefore, we postulate that the effect of the phosphatase treatment in the presence of 14-3-3ε was due to disruption of the interaction with 14-3-3ε through dephosphorylation of PAP. Together, these results indicate that the interaction between PAP and 14-3-3ε inhibits the polyadenylation activity of PAP.

We performed additional experiments to assess how the inhibition of PAP activity by 14-3-3ε in vitro may impact on the poly(A) synthesis of pre-mRNA in vivo. We determined the length distribution of poly(A) tails in mRNA, which was isolated from NIH 3T3 cells transfected with the HA–14-3-3ε construct. The total RNA was labeled at the 3’ end and subjected to both RNase A and RNase T1 digestion to leave only poly(A) tails intact (Preker et al., 1995). The digested poly(A) tails were analyzed by gel electrophoresis and peak integration was normalized to that of control cells (mock). The relative intensity is represented as a ratio of the normalized intensity of the transfected cells to the intensity of control cells at a specific poly(A) length. The relative length distribution of poly(A) tails of mRNA isolated from cells transformed with GST poly(A) polymerase (PAP) (yellow), HA–14-3-3ε (red) or both GST–PAP and HA–14-3-3ε (blue) are shown. The black line represents a third-degree polynomial fit of each relative length distribution. The data are representative of three separate experiments.
have resulted from its indirect effect. For example, the population of mRNA carrying A25–A260 appeared to decrease by the overexpression of HA-14-3-3ε. We found that cotransfection with the GST–PAP construct rescued the poly(A) tail-shortening, although the population of mRNA was not fully restored. Therefore, this result supports a notion that the inhibition of in vivo activity of PAP through its interaction with overexpressed HA-14-3-3ε may be responsible for the shortening of the poly(A) tails.
The subcellular localization of PAP is regulated by 14-3-3ε

We used confocal fluorescence microscopy to investigate how the interaction of PAP with 14-3-3ε affects the intracellular localization of PAP (Figure 8A). Cells cotransfected with GFP–PAP and HA-14-3-3ε cDNAs showed a dispersed GFP–PAP fluorescence mainly in the nucleus, but with areas of staining also in the cytoplasm. HA-14-3-3ε appeared as fine punctate staining throughout the cytoplasm, and the merged images suggest that GFP–PAP and HA-14-3-3ε are colocalized in the cytoplasm. However, most of GFP–PAP proteins remained in the nucleus, and free HA-14-3-3ε proteins were shown in the cytoplasm, indicating that colocalization of two proteins in the cytoplasm is limited. We next compared the subcellular distribution of PAP in the absence and presence of 14-3-3ε. We randomly chose at least 50 cells for each case in three different experiments and quantified the subcellular distribution of PAP from the confocal-scanning microscope images. In the absence of 14-3-3ε, 8 ± 1.5% of the GFP–PAP signal was found in the cytoplasm, whereas the presence of 14-3-3ε increased the cytoplasmic localization of PAP to 18 ± 2.5%.

To confirm the colocalization of PAP and 14-3-3ε in the cytoplasm, we performed coimmunoprecipitation experiments using nucleoplasmic and cytoplasmic fractions of HeLa cells cotransfected with the GST–PAP and HA-14-3-3ε constructs. The binding of GST–PAP with HA-14-3-3ε was observed in the cytoplasmic fraction, not in the nucleoplasmic fraction (Figure 8B). GST–PAP was purified by immobilization on glutathione–Sepharose beads from the total cell lysate, nucleoplasmic fraction and cytoplasmic fraction, separately, and assayed for its non-specific polyadenylation activity (Figure 8C). In this assay, differences in recovery during the preparation of nuclear and cytoplasmic extracts could affect the quantitation of the PAP activity of each subcellular fraction. However, the data clearly showed that the activity of GST–PAP isolated from the whole cell extract was less than the sum of the activities of nucleoplasmic and cytoplasmic GST–PAP, despite the possibility of incomplete recovery during the preparation of the subcellular extracts. This finding suggests that free GST–PAP and free HA-14-3-3ε exist in the nucleus and cytoplasm, respectively, and that these free proteins can interact when the nuclear membrane barrier is disrupted during the preparation of the total cell lysate. Therefore, this result agrees well with the limited colocalization of GST–PAP and 14-3-3ε proteins shown in the confocal fluorescence images.

Discussion

PAP, a key enzyme of the polyadenylation machinery, is responsible for the addition of the poly(A) at the 3’ end of pre-mRNA. Since this poly(A) tail is implicated in the stability, nuclear export and translation efficiency of mRNA (Beelman and Parker, 1995; Huang and Carmichael, 1996; Tarun and Sachs, 1996), control of its pre-mRNA. Since this poly(A) tail is implicated in the regulation of gene expression. We performed a LexA-based yeast two-hybrid screen to identify interaction partners for PAP that may be involved in the regulation of PAP functions. We identified 14-3-3ε, a member of the 14-3-3 protein family, as a potential partner. The interaction between 14-3-3ε and PAP was confirmed both biochemically and in cells. The binding of 14-3-3ε to PAP inhibits the polyadenylation activity of PAP. Thus, PAP activity is regulated by 14-3-3ε through protein–protein interaction. PAP is related to PAPγ in sequences of the region containing the 14-3-3ε binding sites (30.0% identity in the 472–611 region and 38.1% identity in the 641–661 region), and monoclonal antibodies raised against human PAP were reported to crossreact with human PAPγ (Kyrkakopoulou et al., 2001). Therefore, we do not exclude the possibility of PAPγ contamination in our in vitro assays.

It was previously shown that PAP activity can be regulated within the pre-mRNA 3’ end processing machinery by CPSF-160 in mammals (Murthy and Manley, 1995) and by Fip1p in yeast (Preker et al., 1995; Zhelkovsky et al., 1998). 14-3-3ε has not been implicated as a component of the 3’ processing machinery. Thus, the basis of regulation of PAP activity by 14-3-3ε must differ from that involved in the 3’ processing machinery. 14-3-3ε proteins are known to play a role in the regulation of diverse cellular processes, such as signal transduction, cell cycle regulation, apoptosis, stress responses, cytoskeletal organization and malignant transformation (reviewed by van Hemert et al., 2001). Therefore, 14-3-3ε could serve in some way to link polyadenylation with other cellular events. It has also been reported that 14-3-3ε interacts with transcription factors and cofactors (Songqin et al., 1999; Kanai et al., 2000; Thorsten et al., 2000), which indicates a possible link between transcription and polyadenylation.

The deletion analysis performed in this study suggests that there are at least two 14-3-3ε-binding sites in the C-terminal region of PAP and that these binding sites are located within the serine/threonine-rich region. However, none of the known 14-3-3ε-binding motifs can be found in this region. Since most 14-3-3-binding sites require a specific phosphoserine residue, phosphorylation of particular serine residues in the region is most likely to be responsible for the binding of PAP to 14-3-3ε. Indeed, we observed that the interaction between PAP and 14-3-3ε was dependent on phosphorylation of PAP. Since this interaction inhibits the polyadenylation activity of PAP, phosphorylation–dephosphorylation of PAP at the 14-3-3ε-binding sites appears to be an important means of regulating PAP activity. It is known that phosphorylation of PAP affects its activity in vivo and in vitro (Ballantyne et al., 1995; Colgan et al., 1996). The serine/threonine-rich region contains multiple cdk sites. These sites are phosphorylated in vitro and in vivo by p34cdc2/cyclin B (Colgan et al., 1998). Hyperphosphorylation of PAP by p34cdc2/cyclin B is required for inhibition of PAP activity in the M phase of the cell cycle (Colgan et al., 1998). However, we showed that the hyperphosphorylation of PAP was not relevant to its interaction with 14-3-3ε. Therefore, regulation of PAP through its interaction with 14-3-3ε in a phosphorylation-dependent manner may function in phases other than the M phase of the cell cycle. The phosphorylation target sites on PAP for interaction with 14-3-3ε and the corresponding kinase remain to be identified.
The interaction between 14-3-3ε and PAP also redistributes PAP within the cell by increasing its cytoplasmic localization. It is not clear at this time whether interaction of PAP with 14-3-3ε is necessary for the modulation of nuclear import or nuclear export. The serine/threonine-rich region of PAP responsible for binding to 14-3-3ε includes two NLSs (NLS1 and NLS2). Therefore, it is possible that the binding of 14-3-3ε to this region interferes with the function of these NLSs and keeps some PAP in the cytoplasm. Alternatively, 14-3-3ε proteins contain a nuclear export sequence in their C-terminal region (Rittinger et al., 1999). Therefore, PAP could be relocalized into the cytoplasm by active export out of the nucleus on binding to 14-3-3ε.

The biological relevance for the inhibition of PAP activity by 14-3-3ε is unclear. We found that overexpression of 14-3-3ε caused a shortening of the poly(A) tail length of pre-mRNA. Since 14-3-3ε may be involved in various cellular metabolisms, the shortening of the poly(A) tail length might be the indirect effect of the 14-3-3ε overexpression. However, the accompanying overexpression of PAP rescued the shortening of the poly(A) tail length, suggesting that the interaction between PAP and 14-3-3ε may play a role in regulating poly(A) tail length control. Since the interaction of PAP with 14-3-3ε appears to cause a redistribution of PAP into the cytoplasm, the shortening of poly(A) tails may be due to the decreased availability of PAP in the nucleus. The 14-3-3ε-bound PAP in the cytoplasm may be dephosphorylated when the PAP activity is needed in the cytoplasm. It is known that cytoplasmic control of poly(A) mRNA length plays a key role in activating or repressing gene expression during meiosis and early embryonic development when transcription is silent (Richter, 1999). Therefore, it is possible that the redistributed PAP has a chance to participate in cytoplasmic polyadenylation when cytoplasmic control of the length of poly(A) tails is needed. A recent finding that Cid13, a novel cytoplasmic PAP found in yeast, is associated with 14-3-3 proteins may support this possibility (Saitoh et al., 2002).

Since 14-3-3 isoforms are highly homologous, their PAP-binding properties may be conserved. However, the individual 14-3-3 isoforms are differentially regulated (Testerink et al., 1999; Rosenquist et al., 2000) and they differ in their ability to bind synthetic peptides and proteins (Vincenz and Dixit, 1996; Yaffe et al., 1997; Tang et al., 1998; Mills et al., 2000; van der Hoeven et al., 2000). These findings suggest that 14-3-3 proteins have isoform-specific roles in diverse cellular metabolisms. We found that PAP interacts with 14-3-3ε, but not isoforms δ, γ, θ and ζ, suggesting that the regulation of PAP by 14-3-3ε is isoform specific.

In summary, we identified 14-3-3ε as a protein that binds to the C-terminal region of PAP. This interaction depends on the phosphorylation status of PAP, and the binding of 14-3-3ε to PAP inhibits the polyadenylation activity of PAP in vitro. The PAP–14-3-3ε interaction may also play a role in controlling poly(A) mRNA tail length in vivo. The binding of 14-3-3ε to PAP causes the redistribution of PAP from the nucleus into the cytoplasm. These data provide in vitro and in vivo evidence that 14-3-3ε has a dual role in the regulation of PAP (i.e. inhibition of PAP activity and cytoplasmic localization of PAP), which could be an important mechanism for regulating the level of gene expression.

**Materials and methods**

**Yeast two-hybrid analysis**

We used the Matchmaker LexA two-hybrid system (Clontech), with the C-terminal 268 residues of mouse PAP as the bait in a pEQ202 LexA fusion-plasmid vector. A human HeLa cDNA library was prepared with the B42 transactivating domain fusion-plasmid vector and screened from 2 × 10^9 primary transformants of yeast strain EGY48. Yeast cells were grown in SD media lacking histidine, tryptophan and uracil. Clones expressing β-galactosidase activity were screened on the plates by using 5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl-β-D-galactopyranoside (X-gal) as a substrate. One of the selected clones was identified as a cDNA coding for 14-3-3ε. The coding sequence of mouse 14-3-3ε cDNA (DDB/EMBL/GenBank accession No. D87663) was obtained by PCR amplification from a mouse kidney cDNA library.

Protein–protein interactions in the liquid culture were determined from the β-galactosidase activity of yeast cells using the substrate o-nitrophenyl-β-D-galactopyranoside, as described previously (Kim and Lee, 2001).

**Preparation of recombinant cDNA constructs**

For the yeast two-hybrid analysis, different LexA–PAP fusion constructs encoding full-length or defined regions of mouse PAP were prepared in pEQ202 plasmid vectors. A plasmid expressing a full-length mouse 14-3-3ε fused to the B42 transactivating domain was constructed by cloning the corresponding DNA fragment into pG4-5. To express PAP with an N-terminal histidine tag (His-PAP) in Sf9 insect cells, the coding sequence of full-length mouse PAP was cloned into pFABP<sub>R</sub>B<sub>H</sub>Ta (Invirogen). Escherichia coli expression plasmids pGST–14-3-3ε and pGST–14-3-3ζ were constructed by cloning the coding sequence of full-length mouse 14-3-3ε and 14-3-3ζ into the pGEX4T-1 vector (Amersham Pharmacia Biotech), respectively. For expression of GST–PAP or its derivatives in mammalian cells, full-length or defined regions of PAP were cloned into pEBG (Kim and Lee, 2001). The HA-14-3-3ε construct was obtained by cloning the 14-3-3ε coding sequence into mammalian expression vector pBSR (Kim and Lee, 2001). A plasmid expressing GFP–PAP was constructed by cloning the PAP coding region into pEGFP-C1 (Clontech).

**Expression and purification of the recombinant His-PAP and GST–14-3-3 proteins**

The recombinant mouse His-PAP was expressed in Sf9 insect cells. Sf9 cells were infected with 1 p.f.u. of the recombinant baculovirus carrying the PAP coding sequence, as described in the Bac-to-Bac Baculovirus Expression System Manual (Gibco-BRL). The cell lysate was subjected to Ni-NTA affinity chromatography. The recombinant His-PAP-containing fraction was further purified by an FPLC system with HiPrep 16/60 Sephacryl S-200 column chromatography (Amersham Pharmacia). The recombinant GST–14-3-3 protein was expressed in E.coli and purified, as described previously (Kim and Lee, 2001).

**Antibodies**

Antiserum to bovine PAP was a gift from Dr G.Martin. Antiserum specific for 14-3-3 proteins (Santa Cruz), GST (Santa Cruz), HA (Roche), His (Qiagen) and eIF4E (Sigma) were purchased.

**In vitro binding assay**

The purified recombinant His-PAP and GST–14-3-3 proteins were used for an in vitro binding assay. His-PAP of 50 ng was incubated with various amounts of GST–14-3-3ε or GST–14-3-3ζ in 30 μl of EBC solution (Kim and Lee, 2001) at 4°C for 1 h. The protein mixture of His-PAP and GST–14-3-3 proteins was electrophoresed on a polyacrylamide gel. The protein gel was blotted with anti-His antibody. Alternatively, the protein mixture was pull downed with glutathione beads, and the pull-downed proteins were immunoblotted with anti-His antibody, as described previously (Kim and Lee, 2001).

**In vivo binding assay**

NIH 3T3 cells were maintained in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum. Cell lysates were prepared from NIH 3T3 cells (~1 × 10<sup>6</sup>) transfected with mammalian expression
plasmid DNAs (1 µg each), as described previously (Kim and Lee, 2001). The cell lysate (1 ml) was incubated at 4°C for 1 h with 25 µl of a 50% slurry solution of either anti-HA antibody (2 µg) bound protein G-agarose, or glutathione-Sepharose. Phosphatase treatments of the lysates were carried out prior to the pull-down experiment by incubating with protein phosphatase 2A (1 µg) at a final concentration of 100 U/ml at 30°C for 1 h. EDTA was used to inactivate the phosphatase. The proteins bound to the beads were resolved on a 10% SDS-polyacrylamide gel and subjected to immunoblot analysis.

Similarly, cell lysates from untransfected NIH 3T3 cells were prepared and subjected to coimmunoprecipitation analysis using anti-PAP and anti-14-3-3 antibodies.

The in vivo binding assay was also performed using HeLa cells cotransfected with both the GST–PAP and HA-14-3-3. For isolation of M-phase HeLa cells, nocodazol (Sigma) was added to a final concentration of 40 ng/ml. To confirm that the nocodazol-treated cells were arrested at the M phase, the cells were subjected to fluorescence-activated cell sorting using a FACSCalibur flow cytometer (Becton Dickinson), as described previously (Shin and Manley, 2002). Subcellular fractionation of HeLa cells was performed as described previously (Siomi et al., 1997).

In vitro polyadenylation assay
The recombinant His-PAP and GST–14-3-3 were used for in vitro polyadenylation assay. We determined the activity of His-PAP in the presence of various amounts of GST–14-3-3 by measuring incorporation of AMP from [γ-32P]ATP into c-mos RNA lacking a polyadenylation signal. This was performed in the presence of MgCl2, as described previously (Zhao and Manley, 1996). The reaction mixture (30 µl) containing 50 ng of His-PAP was incubated at 37°C for 30 min. The reaction products were precipitated with 5% trichloroacetic acid, and the precipitates were analyzed by a scintillation counter. Alternatively, the products were analyzed on a 5% polyacrylamide gel containing 8 M urea.

The in vitro polyadenylation assay was also performed with GST–PAP isolated from transfected NIH 3T3 cells. The whole-cell lysate (1 ml) was incubated at 4°C for 1 h with 25 µl of 50% slurry solution of glutathione–Sepharose. GST–PAP-bound glutathione beads were extensively washed with EBC solution and directly used for the in vitro polyadenylation assay. To assess dose dependency of 14-3-3, the expression plasmid DNA of HA-14-3-3 was increased from 1 to 7 µg. If necessary, the lysates were treated with λ-phosphatase, before the purification of GST–PAP. The activity of GST–PAP was measured, as described for His-PAP. The total protein used in each reaction was about 100 ng, and their identity was confirmed by SDS–polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis followed by immunoblot analysis. GST–PAP from the nucleoplasmic and cytoplasmic fractions of HeLa cells was purified by immobilization on glutathione–Sepharose beads and its in vitro polyadenylation activity was determined by the trichloroacetic acid precipitation assay, as described above.

Determination of the length of poly(A) tails in vivo
NIH 3T3 cells were transfected with mammalian expression plasmid DNAs (1 µg each). Total RNA was purified from the transfected cells using the TRISOL protocol (Roche). The purified RNA (2 µg) was labeled at the 3′ end with [α-32P]ATP into c-mos RNA lacking a polyadenylation signal. This was performed in the presence of MgCl2, as described previously (Zhao and Manley, 1996). The reaction mixture (30 µl) containing 50 ng of His-PAP was incubated at 37°C for 30 min. The reaction was stopped by adding 10 µl of 20% SDS and 2.5 µl of proteinase K (20 mg/ml) for 15 min at 37°C. The products were analyzed on a 5% polyacrylamide gel containing 8 M urea.

Confocal laser scanning microscopy
NIH 3T3 cells were transfected with cDNAs (1 µg each) expressing GFP–PAP and/or HA-14-3-3. The transfected cells were fixed with 2% formaldehyde diluted in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) for 20 min at 25°C. The GFP–PAP protein localization was directly visualized on a Zeiss LSM510 confocal microscope (Carl Zeiss). To visualize the HA-14-3-3 protein localization, however, anti-HA antibody and a TRITC-conjugated secondary antibody (Sigma) were used. The preparations were mounted using DABCO (Sigma). We blocked the non-specific binding sites with 1% (w/v) BSA and 1% (v/v) normal goat serum in PBS. Imaging for HA-14-3-3 was conducted on the same Zeiss LSM510 confocal microscope. Negative control staining was performed by incubating without the primary antibody. The subcellular distribution of GFP–PAP was scored according to the manufacturer’s manual.
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