Abstract—We report a new structure of high-responsivity photodetectors that utilizes the transparent and metallic zinc oxide (ZnO) gate in bulk silicon metal–oxide–semiconductor field-effect transistor photodetectors. The device has a small optical window only in the channel region, and all other regions (depletion) are protected from external light. Whereas the amplification of photocurrent by external light was not significant at the floated or positively biased substrate, the photocurrent was enhanced at the grounded or negatively biased substrate due to the decrement of the recombination rate in the n-channel MOSFET. Responsivity was in excess of 1500 A/W under white-light illumination, which is higher than that of conventional photodetectors with the semi-transparent polycrystalline-silicon gate.

Index Terms—Field-effect phototransistor, photodetector, transparent gate.

I. INTRODUCTION

PHOTODETECTORS are indispensable devices for optoelectronic applications [1]. Compared with compound photodetectors, silicon-based photodetectors have advantages in their monolithic integration with low-cost complementary–metal–oxide–semiconductor (CMOS) technology. CMOS image sensors have attracted much attention due to their advantages of low power consumption, low cost, random sensor access, and design flexibility [2]–[4]. However, low responsivity and nonscalability remain as key disadvantages [5], [6]. Continuous effort has been devoted to enhance sensitivity and nonscalability remains as key advantages [5], [6]. Detection amplification in photodetectors becomes another means to increase sensitivity [10], [11]. A silicon-on-insulator (SOI) metal–oxide–semiconductor field-effect transistor (MOSFET) itself is an example of a highly sensitive photodetector [12], [13], but it still has some constraints [14], [15]. In spite of judicious improvements, such as a gate-body-tied SOI MOSFET with a dynamic threshold MOSFET process [14]

or SOI MOSFET–diode composite device [15], there are still inherent shortcomings of using SOI MOSFET photodetectors from the perspective of cost effectiveness.

In this letter, we report the substrate-bias-enhanced photoreponse of a bulk silicon (Si) n-channel MOSFET photodetector with a transparent and metallic ZnO gate. The field oxide region and the source/drain (S/D) depletion region are completely covered with metal (Al), and only a designed portion of the channel region is exposed to light via the optical window, which is not covered by the metal. Responsivity is enhanced under the substrate bias.

II. DEVICE DESIGN AND FABRICATION

The devices were fabricated on p-type bulk Si (100) wafers. To isolate the device, channel stop doping was performed by boron diffusion at 900 °C for 20 min, followed by 1-μm-thick thermal oxide growth to form the field oxide. Channel implantation to make an n-channel was employed with 10-keV boron ions at a dose of 5 × 10^{12} cm^{-2}, and an S/D junction was formed by implanting 20-keV phosphorus ions at a dose of 2 × 10^{15} cm^{-2}. A 20-nm-thick gate oxide was thermally grown. Right after the gate oxidation, a 500-nm-thick zinc oxide layer was deposited by photochemical vapor deposition (photo-CVD) for the transparent and metallic gate. The zinc oxide (ZnO) layer was patterned by the (1000 : 1) diluted HCl wet etchant. A tetraethyl orthosilicate (TEOS) layer of 100 nm thick was deposited by plasma-enhanced CVD for an interlayer dielectric (ILD) and was then etched to make the contact hole on the gate as well as the S/D. An aluminum layer of 500 nm thick was deposited by sputtering and patterned to both make an ohmic contact and to cover the S/D depletion region. Another 100-μm-thick layer of TEOS was deposited for the upper ILD. Sequentially, a second aluminum 500-μm-thick layer was deposited to cover the field oxide region. Thereafter, it leaves the optical window only in the channel. As a result, the fabricated devices are entirely covered by double metal layers for blocking external light, except for the optical window that is located on the designed channel region.

Fig. 1 shows a top view of the fabricated device by scanning electron microscopy (SEM) and its schematic cross-sectional view. The device has a small optical window only in the channel region, and all other regions are protected from external light. The optical window is kept away from the depletion region when the drain bias is below 4 V.
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III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Fig. 2 shows an OFF-state drain photocurrent depending on illumination irradiance. The light source was a halogen lamp. The OFF-state drain photocurrent is linearly proportional to the illumination irradiance regardless of the substrate bias. Illuminance corresponding to irradiance was measured, and then, slope $\gamma (= 1.46)$ was extracted. The slope is defined as [16]

$$\gamma = \frac{\partial \log(I_{\text{ph}})}{\partial \log(Q_{\text{ph}})} = \frac{\partial \log(I_{D,\text{illum}} - I_{D,\text{dark}})}{\partial \log(Q_{\text{ph}})} \quad (1)$$

where $I_{\text{ph}}$ is the drain photocurrent, $Q_{\text{ph}}$ is illuminance, $I_{D,\text{illum}}$ is the drain current under illumination, and $I_{D,\text{dark}}$ is the drain current without light. This value of $\gamma = 1.46$ is satisfactory for application in an image sensor [16].

The transfer characteristics of the device with and without illumination under various levels of the substrate bias are shown in Fig. 3(a). The gate length is 20 $\mu$m. The length and the width of the open area in the channel region are 5 and 10 $\mu$m, respectively. The irradiance is 6.6 mW/cm$^2$. The OFF-state drain current is strongly dependent on the illumination intensity. When the substrate is floated, $I_{D,\text{illum}}$ in the strong inversion regime does not nearly increase compared with $I_{D,\text{dark}}$. When the substrate is connected to the ground, however, $I_{D,\text{illum}}$ increases in comparison with $I_{D,\text{dark}}$ in the strong inversion regime. The inset of Fig. 3(a) shows $I_{\text{ph}}$ versus $V_{\text{sub}}$. With consideration of the threshold voltage shift by the different substrate biases, the reference gate bias was fixed at $I_{D,\text{dark}}$ of 100 nA; thereafter, $I_{D,\text{illum}}$ was read at that gate bias. When the substrate bias is negatively increased, $I_{\text{ph}}$ is accordingly amplified by it, which is similar to the photovoltaic effect [17].

When the gate bias is applied to the ZnO gate in the floated substrate, there is no significant increase in the drain current by the photocurrent. In contrast, when the gate bias is applied to the ZnO gate in the biased substrate, clear amplification of
sponsivity is defined as [18]

\[
I_{\text{ph}} = \frac{I_D,\text{illum} - I_D,\text{dark}}{E \times A}
\]

where \(I_{\text{ph}}\) is the power incident on the channel of the device, \(E\) is the irradiance of the incident light, \(A\) is the effective device area, and the other parameters have been previously defined. Values of \(R\) were found to be in excess of 1500 A/W, which is larger than the previous report [19] in the case of light illumination. This is mainly due to the nature of the transparent zinc oxide (ZnO) gate whose transmittance is over 80% in the whole visible range, whereas the polycrystalline-Si gate adopted in conventional MOSFET-type photodetectors absorbs a limited portion of incident photons.

### IV. Conclusion

We have fabricated bulk Si MOSFET photodetectors with the transparent and metallic ZnO gate and demonstrated responsivity in excess of 1500 A/W under white-light illumination. The high responsivity is attributed to improved transmittance of the ZnO gate compared to the polycrystalline-Si gate. The photocurrent increases in the strong inversion regime under the negative substrate bias. This transparent gate MOSFET can be applicable to optically detect biomolecules.
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