Characterizations of realized metal-insulator-silicon-insulator-metal waveguides and nanochannel fabrication via insulator removal
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Abstract: We investigate experimentally metal-insulator-silicon-insulator-metal (MISIM) waveguides that are fabricated by using fully standard CMOS technology. They are hybrid plasmonic waveguides, and they have a feature that their insulator is replaceable with functional material. We explain a fabrication process for them and discuss fabrication results based on 8-inch silicon-on-insulator wafers. We measured the propagation characteristics of the MISIM waveguides that were actually fabricated to be connected to Si photonic waveguides through symmetric and asymmetric couplers. When incident light from an optical source has transverse electric (TE) polarization and its wavelength is 1318 or 1554 nm, their propagation losses are between 0.2 and 0.3 dB/μm. Excess losses due to the symmetric couplers are around 0.5 dB, which are smaller than those due to the asymmetric couplers. Additional measurement results indicate that the MISIM waveguide supports a TE-polarized hybrid plasmonic mode. Finally, we explain a process of removing the insulator without affecting the remaining MISIM structure to fabricate ~30-nm-wide nanochannels which may be filled with functional material.
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OCIS codes: (240.6680) Surface plasmons; (250.5403) Plasmonics; (130.2790) Guided waves.
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1. Introduction

Nanoplasmonic waveguides are metal-based waveguides that support a surface-plasmon polariton (SPP) based mode whose dimensions are below the diffraction limit [1]. Nowadays there are increasing demands to merge electronic and photonic devices on a chip scale as a breakthrough to overcome the limit of electronic-only devices [2]. Since nanoplasmonic waveguide devices are expected to play a key role in satisfying such demands, diverse nanoplasmonic waveguides with different structures have been proposed, theoretically investigated, and realized in some cases [3–12]. A few examples are channel plasmon-polariton waveguides [3, 4], dielectric-loaded surface plasmon-polariton waveguides [5, 6], metal-insulator-metal waveguides [7, 8], and hybrid plasmonic waveguides [9–12]. The last ones are especially prominent since they have both a small mode area and a long propagation distance. Some nanoplasmonic waveguides have been realized by using focused ion beam milling or e-beam lithography. However, such processes are out of standard CMOS technology. For nanoplasmonic waveguides to be a true bridge between photonics and electronics, they should be realizable with standard CMOS technology. For this purpose, silicon-based hybrid plasmonic waveguides like metal-insulator-silicon-insulator-metal (MISIM) waveguides, which are based on standard CMOS technology, have been studied [13–16]. Zhu et al. have investigated theoretically and experimentally the MISIM waveguide whose insulator is irreplaceable since it is fully covered by metal [14–16]. In contrast, Kwon investigated theoretically the MISIM waveguide whose insulator is replaceable via etching and filling [13]. The capability to change the insulator is important since it makes the MISIM waveguides more functional. For example, the MISIM waveguide whose insulator is substituted for electro-optic (EO) polymer may be used to implement high-speed nanoplasmonic modulators or switches. In addition, the MISIM waveguide with nonlinear optical material replacing the insulator may be applied to efficient all-optical devices that are based on the nonlinear optical effects of both the replacing material and silicon. Moreover, the MISIM waveguide with gain material replacing the insulator may compensate for loss coming from other MISIM waveguides. Therefore, if the insulator of the MISIM waveguide is removed and the empty space made by the insulator removal is ready to be filled with any functional material, the MISIM waveguide can be a platform for functional nanoplasmonic devices.

In this paper, we report experimental investigation of the MISIM waveguides proposed by Kwon. We explain the fabrication process based on fully standard CMOS technology, and
show the fabricated MISIM waveguides. Then, we discuss the measured characteristics of the MISIM waveguides. The characteristics include the propagation losses of the MISIM waveguides for transverse electric (TE) polarization and excess losses arising from coupling the MISIM waveguides to silicon photonic waveguides via tapering. The dependence of the propagation characteristics either on polarization or on a wavelength is also discussed. To demonstrate the applicability of the MISIM waveguide as a platform for functional nanoplasmonic devices, we established a process of removing the insulator without damaging the metal. We explain it and show resultant nanochannels in the MISIM waveguide. Finally, concluding remarks are given.

2. Fabrication process and fabricated MISIM waveguides

The structure of the realized MISIM waveguide, which is slightly modified from the structure in [13], is schematically shown in Fig. 1(a). Its metal is copper, which is essential for electrical interconnection in current electronic chips; its insulator is silicon oxide (SiO$_x$) that is conformally deposited around its silicon line; its silicon line has width $w_S$ and height $h_S$. Because of its fabrication process, the thickness of the side insulator, $t_{Il}$, is slightly thinner than the thickness of the top insulator, $t_{It}$. In addition, on the silicon line, there are a SiO$_x$ layer of thickness 50 nm and a silicon nitride (SiN$_x$) layer of thickness ~10 nm. Also, its substrate has a ridge of height $h_r$ below the silicon line, and the top surface of the metal is at distance $d_m$ from the top surface of the insulator. For TE-polarized light, a quite large portion of the power carried by its hybrid plasmonic waveguide mode is confined to the thin insulator layers between the metal and Si lines. This is confirmed from the intensity profile of the mode as shown in Fig. 1(b). The profile was calculated for $w_S = 160$ nm, $h_S = 250$ nm, $t_{Il} = 30$ nm, $t_{It} = 40$ nm, $h_r = 70$ nm, and $d_m = 120$ nm by using the finite element method (FEM). (For the FEM, we used FIMMWAVE from Photon Design.)

We fabricated the MISIM waveguides using an 8-inch silicon-on-insulator (SOI) wafer whose buried oxide is 2 μm thick and top silicon is 250 nm thick. The fabrication process started from low pressure chemical vapor deposition (LPCVD) of 50-nm-thick SiO$_x$ and 100-nm-thick silicon nitride (SiN$_x$). The deposited SiN$_x$ layer was used as an etch stop for the following chemical mechanical polishing (CMP). To make SiN$_x$–SiO$_x$–Si patterns, photoresist (PR) was coated on the wafer, and the PR was patterned by using KrF (248 nm) lithography. Different from the previous work in [14], a PR trimming process to reduce the dimensions of the patterned PR was not employed. This makes the fabrication process slightly simpler. With the patterned PR acting as an etching mask, the SiN$_x$, SiO$_x$, and Si layers were dry-etched. Over the SiN$_x$–SiO$_x$–Si patterns, ~800-nm-thick SiO$_x$ was deposited. In this case, high-density plasma chemical vapor deposition (HDPCVD) was employed to minimize the protrusion of the deposited SiO$_x$ surface due to the patterns. For further planarization of the surface, CMP
the ridge. Then, LPCVD of SiO₂, unmasked SiO₂, process, another PR etching mask was formed by using the KrF lithography, and the unmasked SiO₂ was dry-etched until the buried oxide below it was slightly removed to have the ridge. Then, LPCVD of SiO₂ was carried out so that a thin SiO₂ layer conformally covered the mold. Finally, ~800-nm-thick copper was deposited by sputtering, and CMP of the copper was done until the top surface of the thin SiO₂ layer was exposed to form disconnected Cu lines filling troughs in the mold. During the CMP, the longer it is performed, the larger \( d_m \) is since SiO₂ is so harder than copper that the former is less removed than the latter.

Figure 2(a) shows a photograph of the whole 8-inch wafer containing 85 chips each of which has dimensions of 20 mm × 15 mm. Every chip contains three groups of the MISIM waveguides. In the respective groups, \( w_S = \sim -160, \sim -190, \) and \( \sim -220 \) nm. These values are larger than those of the previous MISIM waveguides in [14] since the PR trimming process was not used. (As checked below, because of the larger values of \( w_S \), the propagation characteristics of the MISIM waveguides are somewhat improved.) In every group, there are not only the MISIM waveguides but also reference waveguides that are Si photonic waveguides consisting of a Si line of width \( w_S \), which is surrounded by the HDPCVD SiO₂. The left and right ends of the MISIM or reference waveguide of length \( l_{M} \) are connected to 450-nm-wide Si photonic waveguides of length \( l_{S}/2 \) by increasing symmetrically the width of its Si line from \( w_S \) to 450 nm over a distance \( l_s \), as shown in the top and middle of Fig. 2(b). The symmetrically tapered structure is hereafter called a symmetric coupler. For a set of the MISIM waveguides in the group for \( w_S = \sim -190 \) nm, their ends are connected to the 450-nm-wide Si photonic waveguides by increasing asymmetrically the width of their Si lines from \( w_S \) to 450 nm over a distance \( l_s \), as shown in the bottom of Fig. 2(b). The asymmetrically tapered structure is hereafter called an asymmetric coupler. All the 450-nm-wide Si photonic waveguides are also connected to 5-\( \mu \)m-wide Si photonic waveguides of length \( l_{S2}/2 \), which reach the sides of a chip, through linear tapering over a distance of 200 \( \mu \)m [Fig. 2(b)]. For a set of the MISIM and reference waveguides in every group, \( l_{S2} \) increases from 1 \( \mu \)m to 15 \( \mu \)m while \( l_s \) is set to 0.6 \( \mu \)m and \( l_{S2} + l_M \) is held at a constant. In the set, five MISIM waveguides and two reference waveguides have the same value of \( l_M \). For another set of the MISIM waveguides in every group and for the set of the MISIM waveguides with the asymmetric couplers, \( l_s \) increases from 0.3 \( \mu \)m to 1.0 \( \mu \)m while \( l_M \) is set to 1 \( \mu \)m and \( l_{S2} + l_M \) is held at a constant. In the sets, three MISIM waveguides have the same value of \( l_s \). For all the set, \( l_{S1} \) is set to 4 mm. Scanning electron microscope (SEM) images of the fabricated MISIM waveguides with the symmetric and asymmetric couplers are shown in Fig. 2(c) and 2(d), respectively. An SEM image of the cross-section of the MISIM waveguide is shown in Fig. 2(e). In the whole wafer, \( t_H = \sim 30 \) nm, \( t_F = \sim 40 \) nm, \( h_F = \sim 70 \) nm, and \( d_m = \sim 120 \) nm, as checked from Fig. 2(e). At the place where the MISIM waveguide meets the 450-nm-wide Si photonic waveguide, there are unintended holes, which seem to have occurred during the Cu-CMP. The facets of the 5-\( \mu \)m-wide Si photonic waveguides were prepared by dicing and polishing the chips.

3. Measurement of the propagation characteristics of the MISIM waveguides

Light from a source was launched into the 5-\( \mu \)m-wide Si photonic waveguide by using lensed fiber spliced with polarization-maintaining fiber. Before light was incident on the polarization-maintaining fiber, its polarization was adjusted to be TE or transverse magnetic (TM) by using a polarization controller. Light from the 5-\( \mu \)m-wide Si photonic waveguide was coupled to another lensed fiber spliced with polarization-maintaining fiber, which was connected to an optical power meter. The wavelength \( \lambda \) of the source was either 1554 nm or 1318 nm. We measured the fiber-to-fiber insertion loss \( L_{IM} \) (\( L_{MA} \)) of the combinations of the waveguides in the top (bottom) of Fig. 2(b). We also measured the fiber-to-fiber insertion loss \( L_{R} \) of the combinations of the waveguides in the middle of Fig. 2(b). For reference, the fiber-to-fiber insertion loss \( L_{SA} \), of the combinations of the 5-\( \mu \)m-wide Si photonic waveguides and the 450-nm-wide Si photonic waveguide of length \( l_{S1} \), which were co-fabricated on each chip, was measured. In the following parts, we discuss the results of the measurement.
3.1 Propagation loss for TE polarization

$\text{IL}_\text{MS}$ and $\text{IL}_\text{R}$ for TE polarization were measured with respect to $l_M$. Figures 3 and 4 show the relations of $\text{IL}_\text{MS}$ and $\text{IL}_\text{R}$ to $l_M$ for the three values of $w_S$ at the wavelengths of 1554 nm and 1318 nm, respectively. Not only in these figures but also in the following ones, the error bars correspond to the standard deviations of experimental data.

In Fig. 3(a), 3(c), and 3(e), as a first-order approximation, we fitted to the relation of $\text{IL}_\text{MS}$ at $\lambda = 1554$ nm to $l_M$ the straight line that is denoted as the red dotted line in the figures. The respective slopes of the straight lines correspond to the propagation losses of the MISIM waveguides with $w_S = ~160$, ~190, and ~220 nm. They are 0.262, 0.219, and 0.214 dB/μm, respectively. As $w_S$ increases, the propagation loss decreases since a larger portion of the power of the MISIM waveguide mode becomes confined to the Si line. For comparison, the propagation constant $\beta_M$ and attenuation coefficient $\alpha_M$ of the MISIM waveguide mode were calculated by using the FEM, and they are summarized in Table 1. Depending on how copper is prepared, the dielectric constant $\varepsilon_{\text{Cu}}$ of copper changes, and so $\beta_M$ and $\alpha_M$ change. If $\varepsilon_{\text{Cu}}$ comes from a model based on Palik’s handbook [17], the calculated values of $\alpha_M$ are much larger than the experimental values. However, if $\varepsilon_{\text{Cu}}$ comes from the Drude model in [18], the former are smaller than the latter. The excess loss $L_e$ caused by the symmetric coupler can be extracted from the intercept of the fitted straight line. The difference between the intercept and $\text{IL}_\text{Si}$ for $l_{\text{Si}} = 4$ mm is equal to $2L_e$. The extracted values of $L_e$ are $0.31 \pm 0.17$, $0.32 \pm 0.17$, $0.31 \pm 0.17$, respectively.
and 0.33 ± 0.17 dB, respectively, for \( w_S \approx 160, 190, \) and \( 220 \) nm. Using the finite difference time domain (FDTD) method, we calculated \( L_r \). (For the FDTD method, we used FDTD Solutions from Lumerical. The built-in model based on Palik’s handbook was used for \( \varepsilon_{Cu} \).) The calculated values of \( L_r \) are 0.623, 0.513, and 0.442 dB, respectively, and they are comparable to the experimental values. The experimental values \( \alpha_M \) and \( L_t \) are smaller than those of the previous MISIM waveguides with \( w_S \approx 134 \) nm and \( t_{Il} \approx 12 \) nm in [14], which are 0.37 dB/\( \mu \)m and 0.42 dB.

As shown in Fig. 3(a), 3(c), and 3(e), the measured data deviate from the straight line. The deviation may arise from measurement errors and non-uniformity in the fabricated MISIM waveguides with the same length. However, it should be considered that the chip itself has the characteristics of Fabry-Perot resonance. Consequently, the linear fitting may not be adequate. An expression of \( I_{L_{MS}} \) was derived from a simple model in which the Fabry-Perot resonance of the chip is considered, and it is

\[
I_{L_{MS}} = \frac{T_S^2 T_T^2 \exp[-(\alpha_s l_{S2} + \alpha_s l_{S1} + \alpha_s l_{M})]}{[1 - R_S^2 T_T^2 \exp[-j2(\beta_s l_{S2} + \beta_s l_{S1} + \beta_m l_{M} + \phi)]\exp[-(\alpha_s l_{S2} + \alpha_s l_{S1} + \alpha_s l_{M})]}}, \tag{1}
\]

In Eq. (1), \( T_S \) and \( R_S \) are the transmittance and the reflectance at the facets of the 5-\( \mu \)m-wide Si photonic waveguides; \( \beta_S \) (\( \beta_{S1} \)) and \( \alpha_S \) (\( \alpha_{S1} \)) are the propagation constant and the attenuation coefficient of the 5-\( \mu \)m-wide (450-nm-wide) Si photonic waveguides; \( T_T \) is the transmittance of the symmetric couplers; \( \phi \) is a constant phase. We assumed that light is perfectly transferred between the 5-\( \mu \)m-wide Si photonic waveguide and the 450-nm-wide one and that there is no reflection due to the symmetric couplers. \( l_{S2} + l_{M} \) was held at 6 mm. \( \beta_S \) and \( \beta_{S1} \) were calculated by using the FEM; \( T_S \) was calculated from overlap integral between a Gaussian beam from the lensed fiber and the fundamental mode of the 5-\( \mu \)m-wide Si photonic waveguide; \( R_S \) was estimated from the Fresnel reflectivity given by \( [\beta_S / (2 \pi / \lambda) - 1]^2 / [\beta_S / (2 \pi / \lambda) + 1]^2 \). \( \alpha_S \) was estimated from the measured fiber-to-fiber insertion losses of the co-fabricated 5-\( \mu \)m-wide Si photonic waveguides; \( \alpha_{S1} \) was extracted from the measured relation of \( \alpha_S \) to \( l_{S1} \); \( \alpha_S \) and \( \alpha_{S1} \) are 0.19 and 0.63 dB/mm, respectively. Using this simple model, we calculated the relations of \( I_{L_{MS}} \) to \( l_M \), which are denoted as the blue solid lines in Fig. 3(a), 3(c), and 3(e). Adjusting the values of \( T_T, \beta_M, \alpha_M, \) and \( \phi \), we fitted the calculated curve of \( I_{L_{MS}} \) vs. \( l_M \) to the measured values of \( I_{L_{MS}} \). The resultant values of the fitting parameters are summarized in Table 2. The results of the fitting indicate that the somewhat scattered values of \( I_{L_{MS}} \) are explained by the Fabry-Perot resonance character of the chip.
Fig. 3. Measured values of $I_{\text{MS}}$ vs. $l_M$ for (a) $w_S = \sim 160$ nm, (c) $w_S = \sim 190$ nm, and (e) $w_S = \sim 220$ nm. They are represented by the square symbols with error bars. In these figures, the red dashed lines were obtained from the linear fitting, and the blue solid curves were obtained from the fitting based on Eq. (1). The measured values of $I_{\text{R}}$ are compared with those of $I_{\text{MS}}$ for (b) $w_S = \sim 160$ nm, (d) $w_S = \sim 190$ nm, and (f) $w_S = \sim 220$ nm. They are represented by the red circle symbols with error bars. The results in the figures were measured at $\lambda = 1554$ nm for TE polarization.

Table 1. Calculated values of $\beta_M^*$ and $\alpha_M^*$ for the Different Values of $\varepsilon_{\text{Cu}}$ at $\lambda = 1554$ nm

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\varepsilon_{\text{Cu}}$</th>
<th>$w_S = \sim 160$ nm</th>
<th>$w_S = \sim 190$ nm</th>
<th>$w_S = \sim 220$ nm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\beta_M^*$</td>
<td>$\alpha_M^*$</td>
<td>$\beta_M^*$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$-79.6 - j10.9$ [17]</td>
<td>2.299</td>
<td>0.740</td>
<td>2.350</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$-123 - j3.85$ [18]</td>
<td>2.243</td>
<td>0.130</td>
<td>2.300</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$\beta_M^* = \beta_M / (2 \pi / \lambda)$. $\alpha_M^*$ is expressed in dB/μm.

In Fig. 3(b), 3(d), and 3(f), the measured values of $I_{\text{R}}$ are compared with those of $I_{\text{MS}}$. The simulation based on the FEM shows that the reference waveguide starts to support a guided mode if $w_S > 193$ nm. If $w_S = \sim 160$ or $\sim 190$ nm, the reference waveguide does not support any guided mode, and so $I_{\text{R}}$ is larger than $I_{\text{MS}}$ for all the values of $l_M$. However, $I_{\text{R}}$ for $w_S = \sim 220$ nm become slightly smaller than $I_{\text{MS}}$ for $w_S = \sim 220$ nm if $l_M > 10$ μm. For a fixed value of $l_M$, as $w_S$ decreases, $I_{\text{R}}$ increases; the increase of $I_{\text{R}}$ is much larger than the change of $I_{\text{MS}}$ depending on $w_S$. The comparison of $I_{\text{MS}}$ with $I_{\text{R}}$ shows that the existence
of the metal lines in the MISIM waveguide makes its loss quite different from that of the reference waveguide. Consequently, the comparison indirectly indicates that the MISIM waveguide supports a hybrid plasmonic mode.

### Table 2. Resultant Values of the Fitting Parameters at $\lambda = 1554$ nm

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$w_S$ (nm)</th>
<th>$L_t$ (dB)</th>
<th>$\overline{\beta}_M$</th>
<th>$\alpha_M$ (dB/μm)</th>
<th>$\phi$ (rad)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>~160</td>
<td>0.468</td>
<td>2.351</td>
<td>0.279</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>~190</td>
<td>0.451</td>
<td>2.287</td>
<td>0.224</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>~220</td>
<td>0.562</td>
<td>2.281</td>
<td>0.214</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$\epsilon L_t \equiv -10 \log T_t \quad \epsilon \overline{\beta}_M = \overline{\beta}_M / (2 \pi / \lambda)$.
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Fig. 4. Measured values of IL$_{MS}$ vs. $I_M$ for (a) $w_S = \sim 160$ nm, (c) $w_S = \sim 190$ nm, and (e) $w_S = \sim 220$ nm. The measured values of IL$_R$ are compared with those of IL$_{MS}$ for (b) $w_S = \sim 160$ nm, (d) $w_S = \sim 190$ nm, and (f) $w_S = \sim 220$ nm. The results in the figures were measured at $\lambda = 1318$ nm for TE polarization. The explanation of the symbols and lines in the figures are the same as in Fig. 3. Each red horizontal line corresponds to the average of the values of IL$_R$.

Figure 4(a), 4(c), and 4(e) show the values of IL$_{MS}$ measured at $\lambda = 1318$ nm for $w_S = \sim 160$, \sim 190, and \sim 220 nm, respectively. In addition, the straight lines and the curves based on Eq. (1), which were fitted to the measured values, are shown together. The values of $\alpha_M$ from the slopes of the straight lines are 0.304, 0.255, and 0.226 dB/μm, respectively. The calculated values of $\beta_M$ and $\alpha_M$ at $\lambda = 1318$ nm are summarized in Table 3. From the intercept of the fitted straight line for $w_S = \sim 160$ nm, $L_t$ was extracted to be $0.48 \pm 0.18$ dB. In fitting the curves based on Eq. (1) to the measured values, $\alpha_{S2}$ and $\alpha_{S1}$ are 0.25 and 1.13 dB/mm,
respectively. Table 4 shows the values of the parameters related to this fitting. Although $L_t$ should be positive, the values of $L_t$ for $w_S = -190$ and $-220$ nm, which were obtained from both the linear fitting and the fitting based on Eq. (1), are negative due to measurement errors. The experimental values of $L_t$ are smaller than the value obtained from FDTD simulation, which is 0.827 dB. The propagation loss of an SPP, which propagates along the interface between copper and dielectric, increases with $\lambda$ decreasing, regardless of the models for $\varepsilon_{Cu}$. This plasmonic nature of the MISIM waveguide mode causes its propagation loss to increase as $\lambda$ decreases. This is confirmed from a comparison of the values of $a_M$ calculated with the model of $\varepsilon_{Cu}$ in [17]. They increase by 0.156, 0.0110, and 0.067 dB/\(\mu\)m, respectively, for $w_S = -160$, $-190$, and $-220$ nm. However, such an increase due to the plasmonic nature depends on the values of $\varepsilon_{Cu}$, and it can be cancelled out due to photonic nature that the shorter the wavelength is, the larger portion of the power of the MISIM waveguide mode becomes confined to the Si line. This is confirmed from a comparison of the values of $a_M$ calculated with the model of $\varepsilon_{Cu}$ in [18]. They decrease by 0.02, 0.022, and 0.024 dB/\(\mu\)m, respectively, for $w_S = -160$, $-190$, and $-220$ nm. In the cases of the experimental values of $a_M$, except for the value from the fitting based on Eq. (1) for $w_S = -220$ nm, they increase. For example, those values obtained from the linear fitting increase by 0.042, 0.036, and 0.024 dB/\(\mu\)m, respectively, for $w_S = -160$, $-190$, and $-220$ nm. These increases are three times smaller than the increases of the values calculated with the model of $\varepsilon_{Cu}$ in [17].

### Table 3. Calculated Values of $\beta_M$ and $a_M$ for the Different Values of $\varepsilon_{Cu}$ at $\lambda = 1318$ nm

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\varepsilon_{Cu}$</th>
<th>$w_S = -160$ nm</th>
<th>$w_S = -190$ nm</th>
<th>$w_S = -220$ nm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\beta_M$ a</td>
<td>$a_M$ b</td>
<td>$\beta_M$ a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.403 0.896</td>
<td>2.465 0.771</td>
<td>2.524 0.652</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.323 0.110</td>
<td>2.397 0.693</td>
<td>2.467 0.077</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a $\beta_M = \beta_{\mu}(2\pi/\lambda)$

b $a_M$ is expressed in dB/\(\mu\)m.

### Table 4. Resultant Values of the Fitting Parameters at $\lambda = 1318$ nm

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$w_S$ (nm)</th>
<th>$L_t$ (dB)</th>
<th>$\beta_M$ $a$</th>
<th>$a_M$ (dB/(\mu)m)</th>
<th>$\phi$ (rad)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$-160$</td>
<td>0.264</td>
<td>2.507</td>
<td>0.308</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$-190$</td>
<td>$-0.129$</td>
<td>2.293</td>
<td>0.248</td>
<td>0.089</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$-220$</td>
<td>$-0.324$</td>
<td>2.313</td>
<td>0.193</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$\beta_M = -10 \text{ log } \tau$

Interestingly, as shown in Fig. 4(b), 4(d), and 4(f), the values of $I_{LR}$ seem to fluctuate around a constant value. (In each figure, the straight line represents the average of the values of $I_{LR}$.) Actually, FEM simulation shows that the reference waveguide starts to support a guided mode at $\lambda = 1318$ nm if $w_S > 149$ nm. Therefore, it is reasonable that $I_{LR}$ does not change significantly when $l_M$ changes only in the range of 1 to 15 \(\mu\)m. FDTD simulation shows that the excess loss of the symmetric coupler for the reference waveguide is 2.66, 1.33, and 0.45 dB, respectively for $w_S = -160$, $-190$, and $-220$ nm. The increase of $I_{LR}$ depending on $w_S$ is related to the excess loss. Compared to $I_{LR}$, $I_{MS}$ tends to increase with $l_M$. Again this comparison clarifies that the MISIM waveguide mode is like a hybrid plasmonic mode.

### 3.2 Propagation characteristics for TM polarization

At $\lambda = 1554$ nm, $I_{MS}$ and $I_{LR}$ for TM polarization were measured with respect to $l_M$. Figure 5 shows the relations of $I_{MS}$ and $I_{LR}$ to $l_M$ for the three values of $w_S$. For TE polarization, as shown in Fig. 3, $I_{MS}$ is smaller than $I_{LR}$ except the case of $w_S = -220$ nm for $l_M > 10$ \(\mu\)m. However, for TM polarization, $I_{MS}$ is much larger than $I_{LR}$ and $I_{LR}$ tends to fluctuate around a constant value, which is the average of the values of $I_{LR}$ in Fig. 5, like $I_{LR}$ in Fig. 4. By means of FEM simulation, we can check that the reference waveguide starts to support a
guided mode for TM polarization if $w_S > 159$ nm. Thus the experimental result demonstrates that the metal lines of the MISIM waveguide disturb so seriously the guided mode of the reference waveguide. Actually, for TM polarization, no SPP-like wave can propagate along the interface between the metal line and the side insulator. Hence, the MISIM waveguide do not support any TM-polarized mode whose power is mainly confined to the Si line and the insulator around it. Consequently, the power of TM-polarized light incident from the 450-nm-wide Si photonic waveguide is significantly lost while it propagates in the MISIM waveguide via the symmetric coupler.

The overall propagation characteristics of the MISIM waveguide for both polarizations elucidate that it supports the TE-polarized mode (i.e. hybrid plasmonic mode) that possesses both the plasmonic nature and the photonic nature.

![Fig. 5. Insertion losses at $\lambda = 1554$ nm for TM polarization. The measured values of IL_{MS} and IL_{R} are shown in (a), (b), and (c), respectively, for $w_S = \sim160$ nm, $\sim190$ nm, and $\sim220$ nm. The values of IL_{MS} are represented by the square symbols with error bars, and those of IL_{R} are represented by the red circle symbols with error bars. Each red horizontal line corresponds to the average of the values of IL_{R}.]

3.3 Excess losses due to the symmetric and asymmetric couplers

To check the dependence of the excess loss due to the symmetric coupler on its length $l_s$, at $\lambda = 1554$ nm, we measured IL_{MS} of the sets of the MISIM waveguides that have the symmetric couplers with $l_s$ in the range of 0.3–1.0 $\mu$m. In addition, we measured IL_{MA} of the set of the MISIM waveguides whose $w_S$ is $\sim190$ nm and which have the asymmetric couplers with $l_a$ in the range of 0.3–1.0 $\mu$m. The excess loss was extracted by using the relation $L_e = 0.5 \times (IL_{MS} \text{ (or IL}_{MA}) - IL_{Si} \text{ (for } l_S = 4 \text{ mm}) - \alpha_M \text{ (in dB/}$\mu$m) \times l_M$, where $l_M = 1 \mu$m. Figure 6(a) and 6(c) show the experimental values of $L_e$ due to the symmetric couplers for $w_S = \sim160$, $\sim190$, $\sim220$ nm. Figure 6(a) also shows the experimental values of $L_e$ due to the asymmetric couplers for
$w_S = \sim 190$ nm. For the symmetric couplers, $L_t$ is smaller than 0.5 dB for $l_t \geq 0.5$ μm, and it tends to increase slightly with $l_t$. As checked from Fig. 6(a), the asymmetric couplers cause larger excess losses than the symmetric couplers since the MISIM waveguide mode has a symmetric field distribution. Despite the larger excess losses, however, the former may be more useful than the latter when two closely placed MISIM waveguides are connected to two Si photonic waveguides. For comparison, we calculated $L_t$ due to the symmetric and asymmetric couplers for $w_S = \sim 190$ nm, using FDTD simulation. The calculated values are shown in Fig. 6(b). Compared to the curves of $L_t$ vs. $l_t$ in Fig. 6(a), those in Fig. 6(b) have peaks, which are attributed to a sort of Fabry-Perot resonance of the symmetric and asymmetric couplers [14]. The experimental curves in Fig. 6(a) seem not to have such peaks since the widths of the Si lines of the realized couplers decrease to $w_S$ more smoothly than expected from ideal linear tapering. Except for the peaks, the curves in Fig. 6(a) are somewhat similar to those in Fig. 6(b). A few negative values of $L_t$ for $w_S = \sim 220$ nm arise due to measurement errors, and they do not mean gain.

![Fig. 6. (a) Measured values of $L_t$ vs. $l_t$ for $w_S = \sim 190$ nm. The black square (red circle) symbols with error bars represent the excess losses due to the symmetric (asymmetric) couplers. (b) Calculated values of $L_t$ vs. $l_t$ for $w_S = \sim 190$ nm. The black square (red circle) symbols represent the excess losses due to the symmetric (asymmetric) couplers. (c) Measured values of $L_t$ vs. $l_t$ for $w_S = \sim 160$ nm (the green triangle symbols with error bars) and $\sim 220$ nm (the blue inverted triangle symbols with error bars).]

4. Removal of the insulator of the MISIM waveguide

As mentioned in Introduction, an important feature of the MISIM waveguide is that it can be used as a platform for functional nanoplasmonic devices. For this feature, as a first step, its insulator needs to be removed without damage to the metal lines. A simple way of removing the insulator is to use buffered oxide etchant (BOE). BOE is a mixture of hydrofluoric acid
(HF) and ammonium fluoride (NH₄F). However, BOE not only etches silicon oxide but also damages or corrodes copper. In order to prevent such corrosion, we mixed BOE with glycerol, which functions as a corrosion inhibitor [19, 20]. We used BOE (J. T. Baker) which consists of 34.9 weight percent NH₄F, 7.2 weight percent HF, and water. When BOE was mixed with glycerol (Junsei Chemical Co., Ltd.), the weight ratio of BOE to glycerol was 2:1.

Top and cross-sectional SEM images of the MISIM waveguide that was immersed in the mixture for 2 minutes are shown in Fig. 7(a) and 7(b), respectively. As shown in Fig. 7(b), a little part of SiOₓ under the Cu and Si lines was etched away since immersion time was long. Figure 7(c) and 7(d) show the result obtained from immersion for 1 minute. As checked from Fig. 7(d), only the insulator between the Cu and Si lines are removed. The MSIM waveguides in Fig. 7 have \( w_S = \sim 190 \) nm, and their length is 5 \( \mu \)m. From this etching experiment, we successfully achieved 15-\( \mu \)m-long nanochannels with dimensions of about 30 nm \( \times \) 250 nm, which may be filled with fluid or functional material.

Fig. 7. (a) Top and (b) cross-sectional SEM images of the MISIM waveguides resulted from immersion in the mixture of BOE and glycerol for 2 minutes. (c) Top and (d) cross-sectional SEM images of the MISIM waveguides resulted from immersion for 1 minute.

5. Conclusions

We implemented the MISIM waveguide whose insulator is replaceable, using fully standard CMOS technology. The used fabrication process and the realized MISIM waveguides have been explained. The MISIM waveguides were made out of an 8-inch SOI wafer; the widths of their Si lines were \( \sim 160, \sim 190, \) or \( \sim 220 \) nm; the width of their insulator was 30 nm. We measured the propagation characteristics of the fabricated MISIM waveguides, and we have discussed the measurement results. For TE polarization, the propagation losses are between 0.2 and 0.3 dB/\( \mu \)m at \( \lambda = 1318 \) and 1554 nm. We have also shown that the symmetric and asymmetric couplers can be used to transfer efficiently optical power from the Si photonic waveguides to the MISIM waveguides. The excess losses due to the symmetric couplers are around 0.5 dB, which are smaller than those due to the asymmetric couplers. The propagation characteristics of the reference waveguides and those of the MISIM waveguides for TM polarization have indicated that the MISIM waveguide supports a hybrid plasmonic mode. Finally, we have successfully demonstrated that the insulator of the MISIM waveguide can be...
removed to fabricate nanochannels without affecting the remaining MISIM structure. The MISIM waveguide whose insulator is removed may be a platform for functional nanoplasmonic devices. A future work may be filling the nanochannels with functional material like EO polymer. It may not be easy but possible to fill the narrow nanochannels since a 75-nm-wide nanochannel filled with EO polymer was reported previously [21].
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